

Course at IHP, February 07

Lecture 2: Homotopy invariance of the
Cheeger-Gromov invariant
Keswani's K -theory proof

Moulay-Tahar Benameur

Université Paul-Verlaine Metz

Trimester on Groupoids and Stacks

- 1 Overview of integration theory in VN algebras.
- 2 De La Harpe-Skandalis determinants in VN algebras.
- 3 Eta invariants in VN algebras as determinants.
- 4 Application to Galois coverings and the Cheeger-Gromov invariant.
- 5 The Cheeger-Gromov invariant for measured foliations.
- 6 Homotopy invariance theorem and Keswani's proof.
- 7 Some words about the bordism proof of Piazza and Schick (see Piazza's talk).

Overview of integration theory in von Neumann algebras

\mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra, identified with a weakly closed C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$. Let τ be a positive trace on \mathcal{M} .

Definition

- τ is faithful if $[T \geq 0, \tau(T) = 0] \implies T = 0$.
- τ is normal if for any increasing inductive system (T_i) of positive operators, we have $\tau(\sup_i T_i) = \sup_i \tau(T_i)$.
- τ is semi-finite if for any $T \geq 0$ with $\tau(T) = +\infty$, there exists $0 \leq S \leq T, S \neq 0$ with $\tau(S) < +\infty$
- \mathcal{M} is semi-finite if for any non zero $T \geq 0, \exists$ a normal semi-finite trace τ with $\tau(T) \neq 0$. Then there always exists a normal faithful semi-finite trace on \mathcal{M} .

Remark

(Dixmier) If τ is normal, then τ is semi-finite iff $\forall T \geq 0,$

$$\tau(T) = \sup\{\tau(S), 0 \leq S \leq T, \tau(S) < +\infty\}.$$

Example

- $B(H)$ is semi-finite.
- $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is semi-finite, when μ is σ -finite.
- Atiyah's VN algebra \mathcal{M}_Γ is finite with trace τ_r : Here $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma = B(\ell^2\Gamma)^\Gamma$ is the algebra of operators on $\ell^2\Gamma$ which are invariant under the right action R of Γ . The trace is $\tau_r(T) = \langle T\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$.
- The VN algebra $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$ is semi-finite with trace τ_r . Here the trace is given by $\tau_r := \tau_r \otimes \text{Tr}$ when we identify $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$ with the tensor product of the right VN algebra of Γ with $B(L^2(F, \tilde{E}))$.
- If (M, F) is a smooth foliated (closed) manifold with σ -finite holonomy invariant measure Λ , then $W_\Lambda^*(M, F)$ is semi-finite.

Fix from now a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ on \mathcal{M} .

Definition

The densely defined closed operator $T = U|T|$ is affiliated with \mathcal{M} if U and the spectral projections $E_\lambda(|T|)$ belong to \mathcal{M} .

Definition

The \mathcal{M} -affiliated operator T is τ -measurable if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a projection E in \mathcal{M} such that $E(H) \subset \text{Dom}(T)$ and $\tau(I - E) \leq \epsilon$.

Remark

If (\mathcal{M}, τ) is finite, every \mathcal{M} -affiliated operator is τ -measurable.

Definition

(Nelson, Fack-Kosaki) For any $t > 0$, the t^{th} singular number $\mu_t(T)$ of T is

$$\mu_t(T) = \inf \{ \|TE\|, E = E^2 = E^* \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \tau(I - E) \leq t \}.$$

Definition

Let $p \geq 1$ be fixed. A τ -measurable operator T is p -summable if $t \mapsto \mu_t(T)$ belongs to the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$. The space of such operators is denoted $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Theorem

(I. Segal) Define the spectral measure m of $|T|$ by

$$m(B) = \tau(1_B(|T|)), \quad (B \text{ Borel subset of } \sigma(|T|)).$$

and define $L_0^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ as the space of \mathcal{M} -affiliated operators T such that the identity belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, m)$, then this space is contained in the set of τ -measurable operators and coincides with the space $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Lemma

The set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of all finite τ -rank operators is a two-sided $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{M} which is contained in $\bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Proposition

We have for $S, T, S' \in \mathcal{M}$

- 1 $\mu_t(T) = d(T, \mathcal{R}_t(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$.
- 2 $\mu_t(STS') \leq \|S\| \mu_t(T) \|S'\|$ and $|\mu_t(T) - \mu_t(S)| \leq \|T - S\|$.
- 3 $\mu_{t+s}(T + S) \leq \mu_t(T) + \mu_s(S)$.
- 4 $\mu_{t+s}(TS) \leq \mu_t(T) \mu_s(S)$ and $\mu_t(T^*) = \mu_t(T)$.
- 5 If f is a non decreasing continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ with $f(0) = 0$, then $\mu_t(f(T)) = f(\mu_t(T))$.

Definition

An operator T is τ -compact if it belongs to \mathcal{M} and if the function $t \mapsto \mu_t(T)$ is zero at infinity. The space of τ -compact operators will be denoted $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Corollary

$L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{M}$ are two-sided $*$ -ideals in \mathcal{M} , called the Schatten ideals of \mathcal{M} .

Proposition

The space $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a two-sided closed $*$ -ideal in \mathcal{M} which coincides with the closure of $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{M}$ for any $p \geq 1$.

Proposition

The trace τ induces a group homomorphism

$$\tau_* : K_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

De La Harpe-Skandalis Determinants

Proposition

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow I + \mathcal{K}$ be a continuous path. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a piecewise affine path $\gamma_\epsilon : [0, 1] \rightarrow I + L^1$ such that $\|\gamma(t) - \gamma_\epsilon(t)\| \leq \epsilon$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover, if $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$ belong to $I + L^1$, then we can insure that $\gamma_\epsilon(i) = \gamma(i)$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Definition

Given a uniformly continuous L^1 -piecewise C^1 path

$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{GL}(I + L^1)$, we define the determinant $w^\tau(\gamma)$ by the formula

$$w^\tau(\gamma) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^1 \tau(\gamma(t)^{-1} \gamma'(t)) dt.$$

Proposition

(De La Harpe-Skandalis)

- ① Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{GL}(I + L^1)$ be a continuous L^1 -piecewise C^1 path.
- ▶ Assume that $\|\gamma(t) - I\|_1 < 1$, then for any $t \in [0, 1]$, the operator $\text{Log}(\gamma(t))$ is well defined in \mathcal{M} and we have

$$w^\tau(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} [\tau(\text{Log}(\gamma(1))) - \tau(\text{Log}(\gamma(0)))].$$

- ▶ There exists $\delta_\gamma > 0$ such that for any continuous L^1 -piecewise C^1 path $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow I + L^1$ with $\|\alpha(t) - \gamma(t)\|_1 \leq \delta_\gamma$ and $\alpha(i) = \gamma(i)$, $i = 0, 1$, we have $w^\tau(\alpha) = w^\tau(\gamma)$.
- ② If γ is continuous and uniformly piecewise C^1 , then $w^\tau(\gamma)$ is well defined.

Definition

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{GL}(I + \mathcal{K})$ be a continuous path. We define the determinant $w^\tau(\gamma)$ by $w(\gamma) := w(\alpha)$, for any continuous L^1 -piecewise C^1 path $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ satisfying

$$\|\alpha(t) - \gamma(t)\|_1 \leq \delta_\gamma \text{ and } \alpha(i) = \gamma(i), i = 0, 1.$$

Moreover, $w(\gamma)$ only depends on the homotopy class of γ with fixed endpoints.

Theorem

The determinant w^τ induces a homomorphism

$$w_*^\tau : K_1(SK(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition

Let β be the Bott isomorphism for the C^ -algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then we have*

$$w_*^\tau \circ \beta = \tau_*.$$

Type II eta invariants

Definition

A finitely summable operator D is a τ -measurable self-adjoint closed operator on H , such that there exists $k \geq 1$ with $(D + i)^{-k} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Remark

If D is a finitely summable operator, then $e^{-sD^2} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Lemma

- 1 If f is bounded Borel and compactly supported, then $f(D)$ is τ -trace-class.
- 2 If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then $f(D)$ is τ -trace class.
- 3 For $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ then $f(D)$ is τ -compact.

Remark

Interesting examples arise with Connes-von Neumann spectral triples. e.g. Generalized almost periodic Dirac operators, measured foliations.

Let E_λ be the spectral projection on $(-\infty, \lambda)$ associated with D^2 .

Lemma

The function $N(\lambda) = \tau(E_\lambda)$ is well defined, non-decreasing, non-negative and left continuous. It thus yields a Borel-Stieljes σ -finite measure ν on \mathbb{R} . So for any Borel function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$:

$$\tau(f(D^2)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\nu(x),$$

Lemma

For any $\epsilon > 0$, the function $t \mapsto \tau(De^{-t^2 D^2})$ is integrable on $(\epsilon, +\infty)$.

Definition

We define a spectral number $\eta_\epsilon(D)$ for any finitely summable D by

$$\eta_\epsilon^\tau(D) = \eta_\epsilon(D) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\epsilon^{+\infty} \tau(De^{-t^2 D^2}) dt.$$

Definition

A chopping function χ is a continuous odd function from \mathbb{R} to $[-1, +1]$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \chi(x) = 1.$$

Remark

Two important examples: $\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(x)$ gives the Cayley transform and $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$ plays a central role in Keswani's proof.

Proposition

Set $\varphi(x) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$ and $U_t := -e^{i\pi\varphi(tD)}$. For any $(a, b) \in]0, +\infty[^2$ the path $(U_t)_{a \leq t \leq b}$ admits a well defined τ -determinant in \mathbb{R} .

Moreover, the limit of this τ -determinant as $b \rightarrow +\infty$ exists and coincides with $\eta_a(D)$.

Assume that the operator D satisfies the extra property

$$t \mapsto \tau(De^{-t^2D^2}) \text{ is integrable at } 0,$$

then $\eta^\tau(D) := \eta_0(D)$ is the eta invariant of D with respect to the trace τ ,

$$\eta(D) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} \tau(De^{-t^2D^2}) dt \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition

Under the above assumptions, we have

$$\eta(D) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} w^\tau((U_t)_{\epsilon \leq t \leq 1/\epsilon}).$$

Closed manifolds

- Let M be a closed odd dimensional manifold.
- $D : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E)$ is a generalized Dirac operator on M .
- Then D is finitely summable with respect to $B(L^2(M, E))$.
Moreover, we have the Bismut-Freed estimate

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(De^{-t^2D^2})| = O(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, the spectral invariant $\eta(D)$ is well defined.

Lemma

Assume for simplicity that D has no kernel. For $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large, $D|D|^{-(s+1)}$ is trace class and the function $\eta(s; D) := \tau(D|D|^{-(s+1)})$ has (a meromorphic extension to \mathbb{C} whose) regular value at 0, given by:

$$\eta(0; D) = \eta(D).$$

Remark

When $\operatorname{Ker}(D)$ is not trivial, the function $\eta(s; D)$ is still regular at 0 with value $\eta(D)$.

Back to coverings

Recall that $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a Galois Γ -covering.

- The von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M}_Γ has a normal faithful finite trace given by

$$\tau_r(T) := \langle T\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle .$$

- If $E \rightarrow M$ then $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$ has a normal faithful semi-finite trace given by $\tau_r = \tau_r \otimes \text{Tr}$.
- We have the $*$ -representation

$$\lambda : C_r^*(G, \text{End}(E)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{G,E} .$$

- Recall also from the first lecture that we have defined a representation π_r in the Hilbert module \mathcal{E}_r which identifies $C_r^*(G, \text{End}(E))$ with the C^* -algebra of compact operators of the $C_r^*\Gamma$ Hilbert module \mathcal{E}_r .

Proposition

The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}_{C_r^*\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_r)$ of compact operators in the Hilbert module \mathcal{E}_r embeds isometrically in the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}_{G,E}, \tau_r)$ of τ_r -compact elements of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$.

Considering now the maximal modules, we recall that π_m is an isomorphism from $C_m^*(G, \text{End}(E))$ to $\mathcal{K}_{C_m^*\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m)$ and that we have defined in the first lecture a $*$ -representation

$$p_{av} : C_m^*(G, \text{End}(E)) \longrightarrow B(L^2(M, E)).$$

Proposition

The C^* -algebra morphism $p_{av} \circ \pi_m^{-1}$ sends $\mathcal{K}_{C_m^*\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m)$ inside the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}(L^2(M, E))$ of compact operators in the Hilbert space $L^2(M, E)$.

Proposition

The operator \tilde{D} is finitely summable with respect to the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$ with trace τ_r .

Proposition

For any $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, $f(\tilde{D})$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$.

When $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, $f(\tilde{D})$ is τ_r -compact and $f(D)$ is compact in $L^2(M, E)$.

Moreover, if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is Schwartz, then $f(\tilde{D})$ and $f(D)$ have finite traces in corresponding von Neumann algebras.

Proposition

For any chopping function χ , we define a continuous path of unitaries in \mathcal{E}_m by setting

$$U_t := -\exp(i\pi\chi(t\mathcal{D}_m)).$$

Moreover, $I - U_t \in \mathcal{K}_{C_m^\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m)$.*

Corollary

For any chopping function χ , $-e^{i\pi\chi(t\tilde{D})}$ is a unitary operator in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$. Moreover, this operator differs from the identity by a τ_r -compact operator. The corresponding statement is true for the operator $-e^{i\pi\chi(tD)}$ in the algebra $B(L^2(M, E))$.

Eta invariants for coverings

Proposition

(Cheeger-Gromov, Ramachandran) The operators \tilde{D} satisfies the estimate

$$\tau_r(\tilde{D}e^{-t^2\tilde{D}^2}) = O(t) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary

The eta invariant of the operator \tilde{D} with respect to the trace τ_r on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_{G,E}$ is well defined and is given by

$$\eta_{(2)}(\tilde{D}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} \tau_r(\tilde{D}e^{-t^2\tilde{D}^2}) dt.$$

Definition

The Cheeger-Gromov rho invariant of D is the real number

$$\rho(D) = \eta_{(2)}(\tilde{D}) - \eta(D).$$

Proposition

We have

$$\rho(D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} ((\tau_r \circ \lambda) - (\text{Tr} \circ \epsilon))(\mathcal{D}_m e^{-t^2 \mathcal{D}_m^2}) dt.$$

Definition

The Riemannian eta invariant $\eta(M)$ of M is the eta invariant of the signature operator. In the same way, the Riemannian ℓ^2 eta invariant $\eta_{(2)}(M)$ is the ℓ^2 eta invariant of the signature operator.

Keswani's proof of homotopy invariance

Theorem

Assume that $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ is torsion free and satisfies the maximal Baum-Connes conjecture. If the closed oriented odd dimensional manifolds M and M' are (strongly) Homotopy equivalent. Then $\rho(M) = \rho(M')$.

Proof.

- We denote equally by Γ the isomorphic groups and consider the universal covers $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and $\tilde{M}' \rightarrow M'$.
- We set $X = M \amalg (-M')$ with its Γ universal cover. Then we reduce the proof to showing that the signature ρ invariant of the manifold X is zero.

Using the homotopy equivalence, one constructs a continuous piecewise smooth path $(LT_t^\epsilon)_{1/\epsilon \leq t \leq 2/\epsilon}$ of unitaries in $I + \mathcal{K}_{C_m^* \Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m \oplus \mathcal{E}'_m)$ such that

- 1 $LT_{1/\epsilon}^\epsilon = \mathcal{U}_{1/\epsilon} \oplus (\mathcal{U}'_{1/\epsilon})^*$, $LT_{2/\epsilon}^\epsilon = I$.
- 2 LT^ϵ admits well defined determinants $w(LT^\epsilon)$ and $w_r(LT^\epsilon)$ with respect to the two von Neumann algebras.
- 3 $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (w(LT^\epsilon) - w_r(LT^\epsilon)) = 0$.

We now explain in more detail this construction.

Construction of the LT path

Set $S\omega = j^{p(p-1)+m} \star \omega$ for $\omega \in \Omega^p$ and same operator S' on M' . Set $B = d + d^*$, $B' = d' + d'^*$ the de Rham operators

Lemma

$S^2 = I$, $S^* = S$ and the signature operator D is $-iBS$. Hence $\text{Ind}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_m^+)$ is equal to the class of $(B_m + S)(B_m - S)^{-1}$.

Lemma

(Higson-Roe) There is a continuous path of gradings connecting $\begin{pmatrix} S' & 0 \\ 0 & -S \end{pmatrix}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & R \\ R^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where R as well as the path are defined using the homotopy equivalence between M and M' .

Set $\mathcal{C}_m = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}'_m & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}_m \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}' & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{S} \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition

There is a path $\alpha(t)$ such that $\mathcal{C}_m(t) = (\mathcal{C}_m + \alpha(t))(\mathcal{C}_m - \alpha(t))^{-1}$ is a well defined path connecting $(\mathcal{C}_m + \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{C}_m - \mathcal{S})^{-1}$ to I .

Proposition

Let $\varphi(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$, then there is a smooth path connecting $(\mathcal{C}_m + \mathcal{S})(\mathcal{C}_m - \mathcal{S})^{-1}$ to

$$-e^{i\pi\varphi(\epsilon\mathcal{D}'_m)} \bigoplus -e^{-i\pi\varphi(\epsilon\mathcal{D}_m)}.$$

Corollary

There is a continuous piecewise smooth path denoted after normalization $(LT_t)_{\epsilon/2 \leq t \leq \epsilon}$ and called the large time path which connects I to $-e^{i\pi\varphi(\epsilon\mathcal{D}'_m)} \bigoplus -e^{-i\pi\varphi(\epsilon\mathcal{D}_m)}$.

Small time path

Theorem

(Keswani) Let Y be compact with boundary and let (M, E, f) and (M', E', f') be two equivalent BD K -cycles over X (with f and f' Lipschitz). Let χ be smooth chopping with support of $\hat{\chi}$ a subset of $[-1, +1]$ and $\chi', \chi^2 - 1$ and $\chi(\chi^2 - 1)$ Schwartz with Fourier transforms in $[-1, +1]$. Then $\exists \mathcal{H}$, Hilbert space with an action of $C(Y)$, isomorphic to $L^2(M, E) \oplus H$ and to $H' \oplus L^2(M', E')$ and $\exists A, A'$ Kasparov-degenerate operators such that $\chi(D_E) \oplus A$ and $A' \oplus \chi(D'_{E'})$ are connected by a controlled path.

Using injectivity of the maximal Baum-Connes map, one then constructs a continuous piecewise smooth path $(ST_t^\epsilon)_{\epsilon/2 \leq t \leq \epsilon}$ of unitaries in $I + \mathcal{K}_{C_m^* \Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m \oplus \mathcal{E}'_m)$ such that

- $ST_\epsilon^\epsilon = \mathcal{U}_\epsilon \oplus (\mathcal{U}'_\epsilon)^*$, $ST_{\epsilon/2}^\epsilon = I$.
- ST^ϵ admits well defined determinants $w(ST^\epsilon)$ and $w_r(ST^\epsilon)$ with respect to the two von Neumann algebras.
- $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (w(ST^\epsilon) - w_r(ST^\epsilon)) = 0$.

Corollary

There is a continuous piecewise smooth (controlled) path $(ST_t)_{\epsilon/2 \leq t \leq \epsilon}$ such that

$$ST_\epsilon = -e^{i\pi\chi(\epsilon\mathcal{D}_m^E)} \oplus -e^{-i\pi\chi(\epsilon\mathcal{D}'_m E')} \text{ and } ST_{\epsilon/2} = I.$$

This is a consequence of

Lemma

Let Y be a compact manifold with boundary as before. Let F be a Kasaprov-degenerate self-adjoint K -cycle on Y and let \mathcal{F}_m be the induced operator on the Hilbert module \mathcal{E}_m . Then $-e^{i\pi\mathcal{F}_m} = I$.

End of the proof

- Concatenating these paths together with the path $(\mathcal{U}_t \oplus (\mathcal{U}'_t)^*)_{\epsilon \leq t \leq 1/\epsilon}$, we get a continuous piecewise smooth path $(\mathcal{V}_t)_{\epsilon/2 \leq t \leq 2/\epsilon}$ of unitaries in $I + \mathcal{K}_{C_m^*\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m \oplus \mathcal{E}'_m)$ such that
 - 1 $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon/2} = \mathcal{V}_{2/\epsilon} = I$ and hence \mathcal{V} is a loop.
 - 2 The determinants $w(\mathcal{V})$ and $w_r(\mathcal{V})$ with respect to the average representation and the regular representation respectively are well defined numbers.
 - 3 $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (w_r(\mathcal{V}) - w(\mathcal{V})) = \rho(X)$
- The loop \mathcal{V} defines an element of $K_1(SK_{C_m^*\Gamma}(\mathcal{E}_m \oplus \mathcal{E}'_m))$ and hence by Bott periodicity and Morita equivalence an element of $\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V} \in K_0(C_m^*\Gamma)$ such that

$$w_r(\mathcal{V}) - w(\mathcal{V}) = (\tau_r - \tau_{av})(\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}).$$

- Using the assumption that the Baum-Connes map is surjective, the class $\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}$ is the Γ -index of some operator \mathcal{P} .
- We have proved in the first lecture that $\tau_r(\text{Ind}_\Gamma \mathcal{P})$ is the ℓ^2 index of \mathcal{P} and that $\tau_{av}(\text{Ind}_\Gamma \mathcal{P})$ is the index of the operator P downstairs.
- Apply Atiyah's theorem to deduce that $w_r(\mathcal{V}) - w(\mathcal{V}) = 0$.
- Finally, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that $\rho(X) = 0$.