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Abstract
We establish a stability estimate for an inverse boundary coefficient problem in thermal imaging. The inverse problem under consideration consists in the determination of a boundary coefficient appearing in a boundary value problem for the heat equation with Robin boundary condition (we note here that the initial condition is assumed to be a priori unknown). Our stability estimate is of logarithmic type and it is essentially based on a logarithmic estimate for a Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation.
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1 Introduction

The thermal imaging is one of the most popular tools in non-destructive evaluation. In the present paper we are interested in one model coming from the mathematical formulation of the problem of detecting corrosion and damage in an inaccessible portion of some material object by thermal imaging. From the practical point of view, the thermal imaging approach of this problem is the following: a prescribed heat flux is applied to an accessible portion of the surface of the object and the resulting response is measured. From the informations we have from the measurements we try to get properties and/or the shape of the damaged portion.

The object is represented by a bounded domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ consists in two disjoint parts $\Gamma_i$ and $\Gamma_e$, each of them is with nonempty interior. The applied heat flux $g(x,t)$ has its support contained in $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma_e$. The propagation of the heat through $\Omega$ is given by the following boundary value problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t), \quad & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\
\partial_n u(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad & x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_n u(x, t) + \alpha(x)u(x, t) = 0, \quad & x \in \Gamma_i, \ t > 0.
\end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)
Here \( \partial_\nu \) denotes the derivative in the direction of the exterior unit normal vector \( \nu \). As explained in [BC2] the Robin boundary condition \( \partial_\nu u + \alpha u = 0 \) corresponds to a Newton-cooling type of heat loss on the boundary with ambient temperature scaled to zero.

Let us note that we do not impose an initial condition in (1.1) because here and in the most industrial inverse problems the initial condition is usually unknown.

Let \( \Gamma_1 \) be a closed subset of \( \Gamma_e \) with non-empty interior. Our inverse problem can be formulated as follows: determine \( \alpha \) and \( \Gamma_i \) from the boundary measurements

\[
    u(x, t) = h(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_1, \quad t > 0.
\]

Other models involving mixed boundary conditions are possible. This is the case for instance in steel industries, where the problem consists in detecting corrosion inside a container from a thermal image outside. The container is represented by a bounded domain \( \Omega \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Its outer boundary is denoted by \( \Gamma_e \). The inside boundary of the container, where the corrosion may happen, is denoted by \( \Gamma_i \). The temperature distribution, denoted by \( u \), satisfies the following boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u(x, t) &= \Delta u(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\
u \partial_\nu u(x, t) &= \alpha u(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_i, \ t > 0 \\
\partial_\nu u(x, t) &= \beta(u^4 - u_i^4), \quad x \in \Gamma_e, \ t > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c_0, \beta, u^4 \) and \( u_i^4 \) are some given constants. The inverse problem is again the determination of the unknown inner boundary \( \Gamma_i \) from the overdetermined data (1.2).

Various uniqueness results of the determination of \( \Gamma_i \) in (1.1)-(1.2) were obtained in [BC1] and [BC2]. Uniqueness, stability and a numerical algorithm were proposed in [CCWY] for the determination of \( \Gamma_i \) in (1.1)-(1.3) in the one dimensional case. More results for the stationary case can be found in the literature, where different kinds of methods are employed to establish uniqueness, stability and numerical algorithms, we refer to [ADR], [AS] [CFJL], [CCL], [CCY], [Ch2] and references therein for more details.

Our aim in the present work is the stability issue for the inverse problem consisting in the determination of the heat loss coefficient in (1.1) from the boundary measurements (1.2). That is we assume that \( \Gamma_i \) is a priori known. The question of the stability in the determination of \( \Gamma_i \) from (1.2) will be discussed in another work.

In the rest of this paper we assume for simplicity that \( \Omega \) is of class \( C^\infty \).

## 2 Stability estimate for an inverse boundary coefficient problem in an elliptic equation

We begin by recalling the following estimate

**Theorem 2.1 [Ph]** Let \( \beta \in [0, 1] \) and \( \gamma \) be a closed subset of \( \Gamma \) with nonempty interior. Then there exist positive constants \( C_0 = C_0(\beta, \Omega, \gamma) \) and \( C_1 = C_1(\Omega, \gamma) \) such that for all \( v \in H^2(\Omega) \)

\[
    \| v \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \left( \frac{\| v \|_{H^2(\Omega)}}{\ln \left( C_1 \| \Delta v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| v \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \partial_\nu v \|_{L^2(\gamma)} \right)} \right)^{\beta}.
\]

From this theorem we derive the following estimate for the Cauchy problem for the Laplace operator.

**Corollary 2.1** Let \( \beta \in [0, 1], \ M > 0, \) and \( \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \) be two closed subsets of \( \Gamma \) with nonempty interior. Then there exist positive constants \( A = A(\beta, \Omega, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)M \) and \( B = B(\Omega, \Gamma_0)M \) such that for all \( v \in H^2(\Omega) \) satisfying \( \Delta v = 0 \) and \( \| v \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq M \) we have

\[
    \| v \|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} + \| \partial_\nu v \|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq A \left[ \ln \left( \frac{B}{\| v \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} + \| \partial_\nu v \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \right) \right]^{\beta}.
\]
Proof. This is immediate from estimate (2.1), the following interpolation inequality

\[ \|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^{1/2} \text{ if } w \in H^3(\Omega) \]

and the continuity of the trace operator

\[ w \in H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow (w|_{\Gamma_1}, \partial_n w|_{\Gamma_1}) \in L^2(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_1). \]

We can now use this corollary to establish a logarithmic stability estimate for an inverse elliptic boundary coefficient problem.

Before we state the stability estimate for the above inverse problem, we need to introduce the boundary coefficient problem. 

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta v(x) = 0, & x \in \Omega \\
\partial_n v(x) = g(x), & x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_n v(x) + \alpha(x)v(x) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_i.
\end{array}
\right. \tag{2.2}
\]

This model describes for instance the electrostatics of a conductor \( \Omega \) having an inaccessible part of his boundary, denoted by \( \Gamma_i \), affected by corrosion. Here \( v \) represents the electrostatic potential, \( g \) the prescribed current density on the accessible part of the boundary \( \Gamma_e \); while \( \alpha \), called the coefficient of corrosion, represents the characteristic of corrosion damage.

The inverse problem consists in the determination of the boundary coefficient \( \alpha \) from the boundary measurements \( v(x) = h(x) \) on \( \Gamma_0 \), where \( \Gamma_0 \) is a subset of \( \Gamma_e \).

Before we state the stability estimate for the above inverse problem, we need to introduce the space to which belongs the unknown coefficient \( \alpha \). Let \( m \) be a positive integer, \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). We consider the vector space 

\[ B_{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m) = \{ w \in S'(\mathbb{R}^m); (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}w \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \}, \]

where \( S'(\mathbb{R}^m) \) is the space of temperate distributions on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( \mathcal{F}w \) is the Fourier transform of \( w \). Equipped with the norm 

\[ \|w\|_{B_{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m)} = \|(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}w\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \]

\( B_{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) is a Banach space (it is noted that \( B_{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) is anything else only the Sobolev space \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^m) \)). Using local chart and partition of unity, we construct \( B_{s,p}(\Omega) \) from \( B_{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n-1) \) in the same way as \( H^s(\Gamma) \) is built from \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^n-1) \).

Next we recall a regularity result of the weak solution to the boundary value problem (2.2). To this end we consider the assumptions, where \( k \geq 0 \) is an integer,

(A) \( \tilde{\alpha} = \chi_{\Gamma}, \alpha \in B_{k+1/2,1}(\Gamma) \cap L^\infty(\Gamma) \) is positive and there exist an open subset \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \) and a positive constant \( \kappa \) such that \( \alpha \geq \kappa \) a.e. on \( \gamma \).

(B) \( \tilde{g} = \chi_{\Gamma}, g \in H^{k+1/2}(\Gamma) \),

Theorem 2.2 [Ch1] We assume that \( \alpha \) and \( g \) satisfy the assumptions (A) and (B). Then the boundary value problem (2.2) has a unique solution \( v = v_{\alpha,g} \in H^{2+k}(\Omega) \). Moreover if

\[ M \geq \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{B_{k+1/2,1}(\Gamma)} \]

then \( v \) satisfies the estimate

\[ \|v\|_{H^{k+2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\tilde{g}\|_{H^{k+1/2}(\Gamma)}. \]

Here \( C \) is a constant depending only on \( M, \Omega, \gamma \) and \( \kappa \), where \( \gamma \) and \( \kappa \) are as in (A).
Let $\alpha = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $g$ satisfy (A) and (B) with $k = 1$, where $\gamma$ and $\kappa$ are the same for $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. Let $v_i = v_{\alpha_i,g} \in H^2(\Omega)$. Then
\[ \|v_i\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C, \]
where $C$ is a constant depending only on $\Omega$, $\gamma$, $\kappa$ and $M$,
\[ M \geq \|\alpha_1\|_{B_{3/2,1}(\Gamma_i)}, \|\alpha_2\|_{B_{3/2,1}(\Gamma_i)}, \quad (2.3) \]
and
\[ v_1(q_1 - q_2) = (v_2 - v_1)q_2 + (\partial_v v_2 - \partial_v v_1) \text{ on } \Gamma_i. \]

In view of Corollary 2.1 and the last identity we can state the following result.

**Theorem 2.3** Let $\alpha = \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $g$ satisfy (A) and (B) with $k \geq 1$ is such that $k + 2 > n/2$, where $\gamma$ and $\kappa$ are the same for $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. Let the assumption (2.3) be satisfied. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\{x \in \Gamma_i; \ v_1(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $\beta \in [0, 1]$. Then there exist two constants $C_0 = \tilde{C}_0(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_0, K, v_1)M$ and $C_1 = \tilde{C}_1(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, , \Gamma_0)M$ such that
\[ \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_{L^2(K)} \leq \frac{C_0}{\ln \left(\frac{C_1}{\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}}\right)^\beta}. \]

In two dimensional case we have a better estimate. Precisely, we proved in [CCL] the following result. We consider the assumptions, where $\theta \in [0, 1]$,
\begin{enumerate}[(A')]
    \item $\tilde{\alpha} = \chi_{\Gamma_i}\alpha \in C^{1,\theta}(\Gamma)$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and non identically equal to zero,
    \item $g = \tilde{\alpha} = \chi_{\Gamma_i}g \in C^{1,\theta}(\Gamma)$.
\end{enumerate}

**Theorem 2.4** Let $M > 0$ be a given constant. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\alpha_i$ satisfy (A') and $\|q_i\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\Gamma)} \leq M$. Assume that $g$ satisfies (B') and it is non identically equal to zero. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\{x \in \Gamma_i; \ v_1 \neq 0\}$ and $\Gamma_0$ be an open subset of $\Gamma_e$ with non-empty interior. If $\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\Gamma)}$ is sufficiently small, then there exist positive constants $C'_0 = \tilde{C}'_0(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_0, K, v_1)M$ and $C'_1 = \tilde{C}'_1(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, , \Gamma_0)M$ such that
\[ \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_{L^2(K)} \leq \frac{C'_0}{\ln \left(\frac{C'_1}{\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}}\right)}. \]

### 3 Stability estimate for an inverse boundary coefficient problem in a parabolic equation

We use the result of the preceding section to establish a stability estimate for the inverse problem in thermal imaging described in the introduction. We need to assume that the boundary function $g$ in (1.1) depends only on the space variable. This is a reasonable assumption. Because this means that we impose at every time the same heat flux on a part of the accessible boundary $\Gamma_e$. The case of the time dependent heat flux will be discussed in the next section.

If $\alpha$ satisfy assumption (B) (see the previous section) then the bilinear form
\[ a_{\alpha}(u, v) = \int_\Omega Du \cdot Dv dx + \int_{\Gamma_i} \alpha uv d\sigma, \ u, v \in H^1(\Omega), \]
is bounded and coercive. Therefore the spectrum of the operator $A_\alpha$ corresponding to the Laplace operator with Robin boundary condition $\partial_v u + \alpha \chi_{\Gamma_i} u = 0$ on $\Gamma$ consists in a sequence of eigenvalues
\[ 0 < \lambda_1^\alpha \leq \lambda_2^\alpha \leq \ldots \lambda_k^\alpha \to +\infty. \]
If \( B \) is the Laplace operator with Robin boundary condition \( \partial_x u + \kappa \chi u = 0 \), where \( \kappa \) and \( \gamma \) are the same as in assumption (A) then, similarly as for \( A_\alpha \), the spectrum of \( B \) consists in a sequence of eigenvalues

\[
0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \ldots \mu_l \to +\infty.
\]

In addition, according to the min-max theorem we have \( \mu_l \leq \lambda^l_\alpha \) for each \( l \geq 1 \) because \( b(u, v) \leq a(u, v) \), where \( b \) is the bilinear form associated to \( B \):

\[
b(u, v) = \int_\Omega Du \cdot Dvdx + \int_{\Gamma_\Gamma} \kappa \chi u v d\sigma, \ u, v \in H^1(\Omega),
\]

In particular, we have the following lower bound

\[
\mu \leq \lambda^l_\alpha, \text{ for each } l \geq 1. \tag{3.1}
\]

Here \( \mu = \mu(\kappa, \gamma) \) is a constant (clearly we can take \( \mu = \mu_1 \)).

Let \( \{ \varphi^l_\alpha \} \) be an orthonormal basis of \( L^2(\Omega) \) consisting of eigenfunctions of \( A_\alpha \). We recall that \( -A_\alpha \) generates an analytic semi-group \( e^{-tA_\alpha} \) in the half space \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C}; \ Re z > 0 \} \). This semi-group is explicitly given by

\[
e^{-tA_\alpha} f = \sum_{l \geq 1} e^{-l\lambda^l_\alpha} \langle \varphi^l_\alpha, f \rangle \varphi^l_\alpha, \ f \in L^2(\Omega),
\]

where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the usual scalar product on \( L^2(\Omega) \).

Let \( \alpha, g \) satisfy (A) and (B). We denote by \( u_{\alpha, g} \) a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1) belonging to \( C([0, +\infty[; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0, +\infty[; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, +\infty; H^1(\Omega)) \) and having the property

\[
u_{\alpha, g}(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \| u_{\alpha, g}(\cdot, 0) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq R_0.
\]

Here and in the sequel \( R_0 \) is a fixed non negative constant.

We decompose \( u_{\alpha, g} \) into two terms \( u_{\alpha, g} = v_{\alpha, g} + w_{\alpha, g} \), where \( w_{\alpha, g} \) is the solution of the following initial-boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t w(x, t) &= \Delta w(x, t), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\
\partial_n w &= 0, & x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_n w(x, t) + \alpha(x) u(x, t) &= 0, & x \in \Gamma_i, \ t > 0 \\
w(x, 0) &= v_{\alpha, g}(x, 0) - u_{\alpha, g}, & x \in \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

From the classical theory of analytic semi-groups (e.g. \([Pa]\) or \([RR]\)) we know that there exists a non negative constant \( C \), not depending on \( \alpha \), such that

\[
\| (-A_\alpha)^{1/2} e^{-tA_\alpha} \| \leq C \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}}, \ t > 0,
\]

where \( \| \cdot \| \) is the operator norm. On the other hand following \([Fu]\) we have \( D((-A)^{1/2}) = H^1(\Omega) \).

Therefore

\[
\| e^{-tA_\alpha} h \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} \| h \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \ t > 0, \ h \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{3.2}
\]

We recall that from the estimate in Theorem 2.2 we have

\[
\| v_{\alpha, g} \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq R_1,
\]

where \( R_1 = \tilde{R}_1(M, \Omega, \kappa, \gamma) \| \bar{g} \|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma)} \) and \( M \) is such that \( M \geq \| \alpha \|_{B_{3/2, 1}(\Gamma)} \).

In view of (3.2) we find

\[
\| w_{\alpha, g}(\cdot, t) \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \| e^{-tA_\alpha} w_{\alpha, g}(\cdot, 0) \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C(R_0 + R_1) \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} = C \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}}. \tag{3.3}
\]
Now for \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( \alpha_i \) and \( g \) satisfy (A) and (B). We assume in addition that the assumption (2.3) is satisfied. We introduce the following notations \( u_i = u_{\alpha_i, g} \) and \( v_i = v_{\alpha_i, g}, i = 1, 2 \). Let \( \Lambda \) be the norm of the trace operator

\[
\| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \leq \| v_1 - u_1(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} + \| v_2 - u_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} + \Lambda \| v_1 - u_1(\cdot, t) \|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \Lambda \| v_2 - u_2(\cdot, t) \|_{H^1(\Omega)}
\]

From (3.3) it follows, where \( t > 0 \),

\[
\frac{2\Lambda C e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} + \| u_1(\cdot, t) - u_2(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \leq \frac{2\Lambda C e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} + \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \leq \frac{2\Lambda C e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} + \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma_0))}.
\]

Passing to the limit when \( t \) tends to infinity, we find

\[
\| v_1 - v_2 \|_{L^2(\Gamma_0)} \leq \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma_0))}.
\]

This estimate and Theorem 2.2 lead

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( \alpha = \alpha_i, i = 1, 2 \) and \( g \) satisfy (A) and (B) with \( k \geq 1 \) is such that \( k + 2 > \eta/2 \), where \( \gamma \) and \( \kappa \) are the same for \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \). Let the assumption (2.3) be satisfied. Let \( K \) be a compact subset of \( \{ x \in \Gamma_i; v_i(x) \neq 0 \} \). Let \( \beta \in [0, 1] \). Then there exist two constants \( C_0 = \widetilde{C}_0(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_0, K, v_1) M \) and \( C_1 = \widetilde{C}_1(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_0) M \) such that

\[
\| \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \|_{L^2(K)} \leq \frac{C_0}{\left( \ln \left( \frac{\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma_0))}}{\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma_0))}} \right) \right)^{\gamma/4}}.
\]

In the two dimensional case we have also the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( M > 0 \) be a given constant. For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( \alpha_i \) satisfies (A′) and \( \| \alpha_i \|_{C^1(\partial\Gamma)} \leq M \). Assume that \( g \) satisfies (B′) and it is non identically equal to zero. Let \( K \) be a compact subset of \( \{ x \in \Gamma_i; v_i(x) \neq 0 \} \) and \( \Gamma_0 \) be an open subset of \( \Gamma_e \) with non-empty interior. If \( \| \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \|_{C^1(\partial\Gamma)} \) is sufficiently small, then there exist positive constants \( C_0’ = \widetilde{C}_0’(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_0, K, v_1) M \) and \( C_1’ = \widetilde{C}_1’(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_0) M \) such that

\[
\| \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \|_{L^2(K)} \leq \frac{C_0’}{\ln \left( \frac{\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma_0))}}{\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma_0))}} \right)}.
\]

### 4 The case of time dependent heat flux

We will use the same notations as in the preceding section.

We first make the following assumption

\((B’’\bar{g}) \bar{g} = \chi_{\Gamma_0} g \in C([0, +\infty]; H^{3/2}(\Gamma)) \) and \( \partial_t \bar{g} \in C([0, +\infty]; H^{1/2}(\Gamma)) \).

Let \( \alpha \) and \( g \) satisfy (A) and (B’’). For each \( t \geq 0 \), we easily derive from Theorem 2.2 that the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta y(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega \\
\partial_{\nu} y(x, t) = g(x, t), & x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_{\nu} y(x, t) + \alpha(x) g(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_i,
\end{cases}
\]
has a unique solution \( y_{\alpha,g} \in C([0, +\infty; H^3(\Omega)) \). Moreover \( \partial_t y_{\alpha,g} \in C([0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)) \), \( \partial_t y_{\alpha,g} \) is the solution of the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta w(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega \\
\partial_n w(x, t) = \partial_n g(x, t), & x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_n w(x, t) + \alpha(x) w(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_i,
\end{cases}
\]

and following estimates hold

\[
\begin{align*}
\|y_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} & \leq C\|\tilde{g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}, \\
\|\partial_t y_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} & \leq C\|\partial_t \tilde{g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)},
\end{align*}
\]  

(4.1)

where the constant \( C \) depends only on \( M \), \( M \geq \|\tilde{a}\|_{B_{3/2, 1}(\Gamma)} \), \( \gamma, \kappa \), as appearing in assumption \( (A) \).

We consider now the following initial-boundary value problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u^0(x, t) = \Delta u^0(x, t), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\
\partial_n u^0(x, t) = g(x, t), & x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_n u^0(x, t) + \alpha(x) u^0(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_i, \ t > 0. \\
u^0(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]  

(4.2)

In a classical way we decompose the solution of the initial-boundary value problem (4.2), denoted by \( u^0_{\alpha,g} \), in the following form

\[
u^0_{\alpha,g}(x, t) = y_{\alpha,g}(x, t) + \sum_{l \geq 1} C_{\alpha,g}^l(t) \varphi^l_{\alpha},
\]

A simple calculation shows

\[
C_{\alpha,g}^l(t) = -(y(\cdot, 0), \varphi^l_{\alpha}) e^{-\lambda^l_{\alpha} t} - \int_0^t e^{-\lambda^l_{\alpha} (t-s)} (\partial_t y(\cdot, s), \varphi^l_{\alpha}) ds.
\]

Therefore, for \( k = 1, 2 \),

\[
\sum_{l \geq 1} (\lambda^l_{\alpha})^{k/2} C_{\alpha,g}^l(t)^2 \leq e^{-\mu t} \sum_{l \geq 1} (\lambda^l_{\alpha})^{k/2} (y(\cdot, 0), \varphi^l_{\alpha})^2 + \int_0^t e^{-\mu (t-s)} \left[ \sum_{l \geq 1} (\lambda^l_{\alpha})^{k/2} (y(\cdot, s), \varphi^l_{\alpha})^2 \right] ds
\]

Or

\[
w \rightarrow \left[ \sum_{l \geq 1} (\lambda^l_{\alpha})^k (w, \varphi^l_{\alpha})^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]  

(4.3)

define an equivalent norm on \( H^k(\Omega) \).

Let

\[
\tilde{u}_{\alpha,g}(x, t) = \sum_{l \geq 1} C_{\alpha,g}^l(t) \varphi^l_{\alpha}(x).
\]

Then with respect to the norm defined by (4.3) we have

\[
\|u^0_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \|y_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + e^{-\mu t} \|y_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, 0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \int_0^t e^{-\mu (t-s)} \|\partial_t y_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, s)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} ds.
\]

In view of (4.1) this estimate implies

\[
\|u^0_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \left[ \|\tilde{g}(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} + e^{-\mu t} \|\tilde{g}(\cdot, 0)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} + \int_0^t e^{-\mu (t-s)} \|\partial_t \tilde{g}(\cdot, s)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} ds \right],
\]

(4.4)
Next let $\overline{g}$ satisfying assumption (B). Recall that the solution of the boundary value problem (2.2) with $\overline{g}$ in place of $g$ is denoted by $\overline{v}_g$. Let $u_{\alpha,g}$ denote a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1) belonging to $C([0, +\infty]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0, +\infty]; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, +\infty; H^1(\Omega))$ and satisfying
\[
u_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \|\nu_{\alpha,g}(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq R_0.
\]
Here $R_0$ is a fixed positive constant.

As in the previous section we write $u_{\alpha,g} = v_{\alpha,\overline{g}} + \overline{w}_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}$, where $w_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}$ is the solution of the boundary value problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t w(x,t) &= \Delta w(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\
\partial_n w(x,t) &= g(x,t) - \overline{g}(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_t w(x,t) + \alpha(x) w(x,t) &= 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_i, \ t > 0 \\
w(x,0) &= u_{\alpha,g}(x,0) - v_{\alpha,\overline{g}}, \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

We split $w_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}$ into two terms: $w_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}} = w_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}^0 + \overline{w}_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}$. Clearly $\overline{w}_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}$ is the solution of the following boundary value problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \overline{w}(x,t) &= \Delta \overline{w}(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \\
\partial_n \overline{w}(x,t) &= 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_e \\
\partial_t \overline{w}(x,t) + \alpha(x) \overline{w}(x,t) &= 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_i, \ t > 0 \\
\overline{w}(x,0) &= u_{\alpha,g}(x,0) - v_{\alpha,\overline{g}}, \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

Similarly to (3.3) we prove
\[
\|\overline{w}_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_0 e^{-\mu t}/\sqrt{t},
\]
where $C_0 = \widetilde{C}_0(\kappa, \gamma, R_0)M$ is a constant with $M \geq \|\alpha\|_{H^{3/2}(\Gamma)}$.

Combining the estimates (4.4) and (4.5) we find
\[
\begin{aligned}
\|w_{\alpha,g,\overline{g}}(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq C_0 e^{-\mu t}/\sqrt{t} + C\|\overline{g}(\cdot,t) - \overline{g}\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} + e^{-\mu t}\|\overline{g}(\cdot,0)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\mu(t-s)}\|\partial_t \overline{g}(\cdot,s)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} ds.
\end{aligned}
\]

As we have done is the previous section, we can deduce from the last estimate the following result.

**Theorem 4.1** Let $\alpha = \alpha_i, \ i = 1, 2, \overline{g}$ and $g$ satisfy respectively (A), (B) and (B') with $k \geq 1$ is such that $k + 2 > n/2$, where $\gamma$ and $\kappa$ are the same for $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. Let the assumption (2.3) be satisfied and
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup \left[ \|g(\cdot,t) - \overline{g}\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} + \int_0^t e^{-\mu(t-s)}\|\partial_t g(\cdot,s)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} ds \right] = 0.
\]

Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\{x \in \Gamma_i: v_{\alpha_1,\overline{g}}(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $\beta \in [0,1[$. Then there exist two constant $C_0 = \widetilde{C}_0(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \beta, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_0)M$ and $C_1 = \tilde{C}_1(\Omega, \gamma, \kappa, \Gamma_0)M$ such that
\[
\|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_{L^2(K)} \leq \frac{C_0}{\left[ \ln \frac{1}{\|u_{\alpha_1} - u_{\alpha_2}\|_{L^\infty([0, +\infty; L^2(\Gamma))})} \right]}\overline{g}.
\]

Let $h$ satisfy (B’) and there exist $\theta > 0$ such that
\[
\sup_{t \geq 0} t^\theta \|h(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} + \|\partial_t h(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} < \infty.
\]

Then $g = \overline{g} + h$ satisfies (4.6).

We note that a particular case of a function satisfying (4.7) is given by $h(x,t) = \omega(t)\rho(x)$, where $\rho \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)$ and
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} t^\theta \omega(t) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} t^\theta \omega'(t) = 0.
\]
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