

# Estimate of the $L^p$ -Fourier Transform Norm on Strong $*$ -Regular Exponential Solvable Lie Groups

**A. Baklouti**

Department of Mathematics, Sfax University,  
Faculty of Sciences, Tunisia.  
E-mail: Ali.Baklouti@fss.rnu.tn

**J. Ludwig**

Department of Mathematics, Metz University,  
Ile de Saulcy, 57045 Metz Cedex, France.  
E-mail: Ludwig@poncelet.sciences.univ-metz.fr

**L. Scuto**

Department of Mathematics, Metz University,  
Ile de Saulcy, 57045 Metz Cedex, France.  
E-mail: Scuto@poncelet.sciences.univ-metz.fr

**K. Smaoui**

Department of Mathematics, Sfax University,  
Faculty of Sciences, Tunisia.  
E-mail: Kais.Smaoui@isimsf.rnu.tn

## Abstract

We study the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform for a special class of exponential Lie groups, the strong  $*$ -regular exponential Lie groups. Moreover, we provide an estimate of its norm using the orbit method.

**Keywords:** Exponential Lie group, Plancherel measure, unitary representation, coadjoint orbit,  $L^p$ -Fourier transform.

**2000 MSC:** 22E27, 43E32.

**Abbreviated title:** Estimate of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform norm

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Some notations and basic facts . . . . .	4
2.2	Induced representations . . . . .	5
2.3	Orbit theory . . . . .	6
2.4	Localized Plancherel formula . . . . .	6
2.5	Hausdorff-Young type's inequality for integral operators . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Estimate of the <math>L^p</math>-Fourier transform norm</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Induction by an ideal of codimension one . . . . .	9
3.2	The unimodular case . . . . .	10
3.3	The main theorem . . . . .	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Examples</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1	A strong $*$ -regular example . . . . .	18
4.2	Boidol's group . . . . .	19

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a separable locally compact unimodular group of type I and  $\widehat{G}$  its unitary dual, the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations, endowed with the Mackey-Borel structure. Let  $dg$  be the Haar measure on  $G$ . The operator valued Fourier transform on  $G$  maps each  $\varphi \in L^1(G)$  to the field  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = (\pi(\varphi))_{\pi \in \widehat{G}}$  of bounded operators on  $\widehat{G}$ , where  $\pi(\varphi)$  is defined by:

$$\pi(\varphi) = \int_G \varphi(g)\pi(g)dg.$$

Let  $\mu$  be the Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}$ , which is uniquely determined by the abstract Plancherel formula: for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ ,

$$\int_G |\varphi(g)|^2 dg = \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{tr}((\pi(\varphi)^* \pi(\varphi)) d\mu(\pi).$$

The Hausdorff-Young inequality (see [16]), proved for separable locally compact unimodular group of type I, is the assertion

$$\left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \int_G |\varphi(g)|^p dg \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $q$  is the conjugate of  $p$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and

$$\|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q = \text{tr} \left( (\pi(\varphi)^* \pi(\varphi))^{\frac{q}{2}} \right).$$

For a  $\mu$ -measurable field of bounded operators  $F$  on  $\widehat{G}$ , let

$$\|F\|_q = \left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|F(\pi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus we can write (1.1) as

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\varphi)\|_q \leq \|\varphi\|_p.$$

Hence the map  $\varphi \mapsto \mathcal{F}\varphi$  from  $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$  to  $L^q(\widehat{G})$  extends to a continuous operator  $\mathcal{F}^p : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^q(\widehat{G})$  and its operator norm

$$\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\| = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mathcal{F}(\varphi)\|_q \leq 1.$$

It has been proved in [10], that  $\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\| = 1$  if and only if  $G$  contains a compact open subgroup. The aim of the present paper is to get an estimate of the norm  $\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\|$  for a certain class of solvable Lie groups. Beckner [3] treated the case of the abelian group  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$  and proved that  $\|\mathcal{F}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = A_p^n$ , where  $A_p = \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Many results have been obtained for several kinds of groups (see [1],[3],[10],[11],[13],[20]). Recently, the following result concerning general nilpotent Lie groups has been shown in [2]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group and  $m$  the maximal dimension of the coadjoint orbits. Then for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\| \leq A_p^{\frac{2\dim G - m}{2}}. \quad (1.2)$$

For the case of non-unimodular locally compact group of type I, there exists a field of non-zero positive self-adjoint operators  $(K_\pi)_{\pi \in \widehat{G}}$  and a measure  $\mu$  on  $\widehat{G}$  such that for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$  and for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  the operator  $\pi(\varphi)K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  extends to a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on the space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  of  $\pi$  and the operator  $K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi(\varphi^* * \varphi) K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is trace class. Then the Plancherel formula reads (see [8]):

$$\|\varphi\|_2^2 = \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{tr} (K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi(\varphi^* * \varphi) K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}) d\mu(\pi).$$

By taking as a definition of the Fourier transform of  $\varphi$ , the operator field

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \left( \pi(\varphi) K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{q}} \right)_{\pi \in \widehat{G}},$$

Terp [23] and Führ [12] extend the Hausdorff-Young theorem to this case. Eymard and Terp [9] and Russo [21] obtained a more precise estimate of the norm  $\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\|$  for the group of affine transformations of the real line, the so-called "ax+b"-group. An extension of these results to a certain class of completely solvable Lie groups was given by Inoue. It concerns the class of connected and simply connected Lie groups  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a normal  $j$ -algebra which is characterized by the fact that  $G$  is an affine automorphism group acting simply and transitively on a Siegel domain of type II (see [13]). In this paper, we shall show that for Boidol's group, a certain four dimensional

exponential Lie group which is not  $*$ -regular, the known methods do not apply. In this paper we look therefore at the class of the strong  $*$ -regular exponential Lie groups (see definition 1 below), for which a sample of Boidol's group does not appear during the induction procedure. Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be an arbitrary exponential solvable Lie group satisfying the strong  $*$ -regularity condition. Let  $m$  be the maximal dimension of coadjoint orbits. Let  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $q$  the conjugate of  $p$ . Then for all  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$  and  $\mu$ -almost all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ , the operator  $\pi^p(\varphi) := \pi(\varphi)K_{\pi}^{-\frac{1}{q}}$  is bounded, its extension is of class  $C_q$  and we have the following inequality:*

$$\left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|\pi^p(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_p^{\frac{2 \dim G - m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_p.$$

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Some notations and basic facts

We recall first some basic notions and facts. For further details, see [4]. Let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected exponential solvable Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e., a connected, simply connected Lie group such that the exponential mapping:

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow G$$

is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $G$ . We denote by  $\log$  its inverse mapping. Let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the vector dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the adjoint representation  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , i.e.,

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)Y = \text{ad}(X)Y = [X, Y], \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The group  $G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}_G$ , i.e.,

$$\text{Ad}_G(g)Y = \text{Ad}(g)Y = e^{\text{ad}(X)}Y, \quad g = \exp X \in G, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by the coadjoint representation  $\text{Ad}_G^*$ , i.e.,

$$\langle \text{Ad}_G^*(g)l, X \rangle = \langle g \cdot l, X \rangle = \langle l, \text{Ad}(g^{-1})X \rangle, \quad g \in G, \quad l \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The set  $G \cdot l = \{g \cdot l, g \in G\} =: \Omega_l$  is called the  $G$ -orbit of  $l$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  the space of coadjoint orbits. Let  $\mathfrak{g}(l) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}, \langle l, [X, \mathfrak{g}] \rangle = \{0\}\}$  be the stabilizer of  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it's also the Lie algebra of  $G_l = \{g \in G, g \cdot l = l\}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , define  $\mathfrak{p}^{\perp} = \{f \in \mathfrak{g}^*, f|_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0\}$ , the annihilator of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . A subspace  $\mathfrak{b}(l) \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is called a polarization for  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , if  $\mathfrak{b}(l)$  is a maximal dimensional isotropic subalgebra related to the skew-symmetric bilinear form  $B_l$  defined by:

$$B_l(X, Y) = \langle l, [X, Y] \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Moreover, a polarization  $\mathfrak{b}(l)$  for  $l$  satisfies Pukanszky's condition or is a Pukanszky polarization, if

$$l + \mathfrak{b}(l)^{\perp} = \text{Ad}^*(B(l))l = B(l) \cdot l$$

where  $B(l) = \exp \mathfrak{b}(l)$ . So we can consider the unitary character  $\chi_l = \chi$  of  $B(l)$  associated to  $l$  defined by:

$$\chi(\exp X) = e^{-2\pi i \langle l, X \rangle}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{b}(l).$$

We say that the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_l$  of  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is saturated with respect to a one codimensional ideal  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie } G_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , if  $\mathfrak{g}(l) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ . In such case, we have that  $G \cdot l = G \cdot l + \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp$  and

$$\dim(G_0 \cdot l_0) = \dim(G \cdot l) - 2, \quad l_0 = l|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $dg$  be a left Haar measure on  $G$  and  $\Delta_G$  the modular function of  $G$ , which is defined, for all  $x \in G$  and  $f$  in  $C_c(G)$ , i.e., the set of continuous functions on  $G$  with compact support, by the relation:

$$\int_G f(gx^{-1}) dg = \Delta_G(x) \int_G f(g) dg.$$

It's well known that, for  $x \in G$ :

$$\Delta_G(x) = |\det \text{Ad}(x)|^{-1} = \exp(-\text{tr ad}(\log x)).$$

Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup with corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We denote by  $\Delta_{H,G}$  the positive character of  $H$  defined by:

$$\Delta_{H,G}(h) = \frac{\Delta_H(h)}{\Delta_G(h)}.$$

So, we have:

$$\Delta_{H,G}(X) = \exp(\text{tr ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

It's clear that, if  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\Delta_{H,G}(h) = 1, \forall h \in H$ .

## 2.2 Induced representations

Let  $K(G, H)$  be the space of continuous and compactly supported modulo  $H$  functions  $F: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that:

$$F(gh) = \Delta_{G,H}(h)^{-1} F(g), \quad g \in G, h \in H.$$

$G$  acts on this space by left translation. It is shown in [4] that, up to a multiplicative scalar, there exists a unique non-negative  $G$ -invariant linear form on  $K(G, H)$ . It's usually denoted by  $\nu_{G,H}$  or more simply  $\nu$  and so we have:

$$\nu_{G,H}(F) = \oint_{G/H} F(g) d\nu_{G,H}(\dot{g}), \quad \forall F \in K(G, H).$$

It's clear that if  $\Delta_G = \Delta_H$  on  $H$ , then  $\nu_{G,H}$  is a  $G$ -invariant measure on the homogeneous space  $G/H$  and  $K(G, H) = C_c(G/H)$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of  $H$  in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ . We consider the space:

$$K_\pi(G, H) = \left\{ F : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi, \text{ continuous and with compact support modulo } H \right. \\ \left. \text{such that : } F(gb) = \Delta_{G,H}(h)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho(h^{-1})(\xi(g)), \forall (g, h) \in G \times H \right\}.$$

If  $F$  is in  $K_\pi(G, H)$ , the mapping  $g \longmapsto \|F(g)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}^2$  belongs to  $K(G, H)$ . This relation allows us to define an  $L^2$ -norm on  $K_\pi(G, H)$  in the following way:

$$\|F\|_2 = \left( \int_{G/H} \|F(g)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}^2 d\nu(\dot{g}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We define the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_H^G \pi$  of  $G$  as the left regular representation of  $G$  on the completion  $L^2(G/H, \pi)$  of  $K_\pi(G, H)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  defined above, i.e.,

$$(\text{Ind}_H^G \pi)(x)(\xi)(y) = \xi(x^{-1}y), \quad \forall x, y \in G, \quad \xi \in L^2(G/H, \pi).$$

## 2.3 Orbit theory

The unitary dual  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$  can be parametrized via the Kirillov-Bernat-Vergne orbit method. Let  $l$  be in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . We take a polarization  $\mathfrak{b}$  for  $l$  satisfying Pukanszky's condition. For such a polarization, we define  $\pi_{l,\mathfrak{b}}$  by:

$$\pi_l = \pi_{l,\mathfrak{b}} = \text{Ind}_B^G \chi_l, \quad (B = \exp \mathfrak{b}).$$

Then  $\pi_{l,\mathfrak{b}}$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  and its equivalence class  $[\pi_{l,\mathfrak{b}}]$  depends only on the coadjoint orbit of  $l$ . Every irreducible representation  $\pi$  is equivalent to an induced representation  $\pi_{l,\mathfrak{b}}$  from a character  $\chi_l$  of a Pukanszky polarization. Moreover, the following mapping, called the Kirillov-Bernat-Pukanszky-Vergne mapping

$$\mathcal{K} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}^*/G & \longrightarrow & \widehat{G} \\ G \cdot l & \longmapsto & [\pi_{l,\mathfrak{b}}] =: \pi_{G \cdot l} \end{array}$$

is a homeomorphism. For details see [17].

## 2.4 Localized Plancherel formula

**2.4.1** The Plancherel formula for exponential Lie groups was obtained by Duflo and Rais in [8]. Let  $Z = \exp \mathfrak{z}$  be the center of  $G$ . Let  $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$  be a closed connected subgroup of  $Z$  and  $\chi_\psi$  be the unitary character of  $A$  associated to a fixed  $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\psi^* = \{l \in \mathfrak{g}^* : l|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \psi\} \text{ and} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\chi_\psi} = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} : \pi|_A = \chi_\psi \cdot \text{Id}\}.$$

It follows from [17] that the orbit space  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G$  is homeomorphic to  $\widehat{G}_{\chi_\psi}$  via the Kirillov-mapping. For  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , the space  $L^p(G/A, \chi_\psi)$  is defined as the set of all measurable functions  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi(ga) = \chi_\psi(a)\varphi(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $a \in A$  and

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p(G/A, \chi_\psi)}^p = \int_{G/A} |\varphi(g)|^p dg < \infty.$$

For  $p = 1$  we obtain a  $*$ -Banach algebra. The convolution here is defined for  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  in  $L^1(G/A, \chi_\psi)$  by:

$$\varphi * \varphi'(g) = \int_{G/A} \varphi(u)\varphi'(u^{-1}g)du, \quad g \in G/A$$

and the involution  $*$  by:

$$f^*(x) = \Delta_G(x^{-1})\overline{f(x^{-1})}, \quad x \in G, f \in L^1(G/A, \chi_\psi).$$

Of course, the space  $\widehat{G}_{\chi_\psi}$  is also the dual space of the algebra  $L^1(G/A, \chi_\psi)$ .

We denote by  $\Omega_\psi \in \mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G$  a coadjoint orbit and by  $\pi_{\Omega_\psi} \in \widehat{G}_{\chi_\psi}$  the corresponding representation of  $G$ .

By [19], there exists a non zero rational function  $\xi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that:

$$\xi(x \cdot l) = \Delta_G(x^{-1})\xi(l), \quad \text{for all } x \in G \text{ and } l \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (2.3)$$

Fix one such function  $\xi$ . There is a unique measure  $\mu_{\xi, \psi}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G$  such that, for all Borel function  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have by [8]:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*} \phi(l)|\xi(l)|dl = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G} \int_{\Omega_\psi} \phi(l)d\beta_{\Omega_\psi}(l)d\mu_{\xi, \psi}(\Omega_\psi), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $d\beta_{\Omega_\psi}$  is the canonical measure on  $\Omega_\psi$ . Then  $d\mu_{\xi, \psi}$  is called the localized Plancherel measure on  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G \simeq \widehat{G}_{\chi_\psi}$ .

We recall the fact that, if  $\pi$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ , then by [7], there exists a unique self-adjoint and positive operator  $K_\pi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  which is semi-invariant with weight  $\Delta_G^{-1}$ , which means that

$$\pi(g)K_\pi\pi(g)^{-1} = \Delta_G^{-1}(g)K_\pi, \quad g \in G.$$

In the case where  $\pi = \pi_{l, \mathfrak{b}}$  and  $\Delta_{G/B} \equiv 1$ , we have that  $K_\pi$  is nothing else but the operator of multiplication by the function  $\tilde{\xi}$ , where  $\tilde{\xi}$  is defined by:  $\tilde{\xi}(x) = \xi(x \cdot l)$ ,  $x \in G$  (see [8]). Then, for every  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , for almost all  $\Omega_\psi \in \mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G$ , the operator  $K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi_{\Omega_\psi}(\phi)K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is trace class and

$$\text{tr}(K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi_{\Omega_\psi}(\phi)K_\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \int_{\Omega_\psi} (\Gamma \cdot (\phi \circ \exp))^\wedge(l)|\xi(l)|^{-1}d\beta_{\Omega_\psi}(l),$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a positive  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant function on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which does not depend on  $\xi$ .

By [7] and [8] the localized Plancherel formula reads:

$$\|\phi\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G} \mathrm{tr}(K_{\pi^{\frac{-1}{2}}} \pi_{\Omega_\psi}(\phi^* * \phi) K_{\pi^{\frac{-1}{2}}}) d\mu_{\xi,\psi}(\Omega_\psi). \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, we obtain a decomposition of the Plancherel measure: for a measurable function  $F$  on  $\widehat{G}$ , we have:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} F(\pi_\Omega) d\mu(\Omega) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G} F(\pi_{\Omega_\psi}) d\mu_{\xi,\psi}(\Omega_\psi) d\psi.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  be the kernel of  $\psi$  and  $A_0 = \exp \mathfrak{a}_0$ . Let  $P : G \longrightarrow G/A_0$ , be the canonical projection and  $\bar{\chi}_{\bar{\psi}}$  the character of  $A/A_0$  associated to  $\bar{\psi} \in (\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}_0)^*$ , defined by the formula:  $\bar{\chi}_{\bar{\psi}} \circ P|_A = \chi_\psi$ . So we have for  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , that:

$$L^p(G/A, \psi) = L^p((G/A_0)/(A/A_0), \bar{\psi}) \quad (2.6)$$

and  $A' = A/A_0$  is a central subgroup of  $G' = \exp \mathfrak{g}' = G/A_0$ . We remark that  $L^q(\widehat{G}_{\chi_\psi})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L^q(\widehat{G}'_{\bar{\chi}_{\bar{\psi}}})$  by means of the localized Plancherel formula (2.5), where  $q$  is the conjugate of  $p$ .

**2.4.2** Suppose now that  $G$  is not unimodular. Let  $G_0 = \exp \mathfrak{g}_0$  be the kernel of the modular function  $\Delta_G$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a codimension one ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and there exists a dense open subset  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{gen}}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that the coadjoint orbit of an element in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{gen}}^*$  is of maximal dimension and saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . We call the elements of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{gen}}^*$  generic, or in general position. Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}X$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be the Plancherel measure of  $\widehat{G}_0$ . The group  $G/G_0 \simeq \exp \mathbb{R}X$  acts by conjugation on  $\widehat{G}_0$ . We need to compute the Plancherel measure  $\mu_0$  of  $\widehat{G}_0$  from  $\mu$  and the action of  $G/G_0$  on  $\widehat{G}_0$ .

Let  $p^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  be the canonical projection and write  $l_0$  for the restriction to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of an element  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . If  $l$  is generic, then  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is an ideal of codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}_0(l_0)$  and for its orbit  $\Omega_l$  we have that:

$$p^*(\Omega_l) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Ad}^*(\exp tX) \Omega_{l_0}^0,$$

where  $\Omega_{l_0}^0$  is the  $G_0$ -orbit of  $l_0$ .

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\pi_0, \mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}) \in \widehat{G}_0$ , we define the representation  $\exp(tX) \cdot \pi_0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}$  by:

$$\exp(tX) \cdot \pi_0(g_0) = \pi_0(\exp(-tX)g_0 \exp(tX)) =: \pi_0^t(g_0), \quad g_0 \in G_0.$$

For generic  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , the stabilizer  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is nilpotent. Hence for these forms  $l$ , we have that:

$$\Delta_G(s) = \Delta_{G(l)}(s) = 1, \quad \text{for all } s \in G(l) \quad (2.7)$$

(see [4], chap. II). This shows that  $G(l) \subset G_0$  and so the co-adjoint orbits of all the  $l$ 's are saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . In particular every Pukanszky polarisation  $\mathfrak{p}$  for  $l_0$  is also a Pukanszky polarization for  $l \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{gen}}^*$ .

Let again  $l \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{gen}}^*$  and let  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp$ . There exists  $t \in G_0(l_0) \subset G_0$  such that  $l+a = \text{Ad}^*(t)l$  and so by (2.7)

$$\xi(l+a) = \xi(\text{Ad}^*(t)l) = \Delta_G(t^{-1})\xi(l) = \xi(l). \quad (2.8)$$

We can thus define a function  $\check{\xi}$  on the generic elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_0^*$  by letting:

$$\check{\xi}(l_0) := \xi(l), \quad l \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (2.9)$$

This function  $\check{\xi}$  is rational (since such is  $\xi$ ) and by (2.3)

$$\check{\xi}(x \cdot l_0) = \Delta_G(x^{-1})\check{\xi}(l_0), \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $x \in G$  and  $l_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ . In particular, the function  $\check{\xi}$  is  $G_0$ -invariant. Define a positive Borel function  $\xi_0$  on  $\widehat{G}_0$  by:

$$\xi_0(\pi_{l_0}) := \check{\xi}(l_0)$$

and the Borel subset  $U$  of  $\widehat{G}_0$  by:

$$U := \{\pi_0 \in \widehat{G}_0, \quad \xi_0(\pi_0) = 1\}.$$

Then we have for  $\pi_0 \in U$  that:

$$\xi_0(\exp(tX) \cdot \pi_0) = \check{\xi}(\exp(tX) \cdot l_0) = \Delta_G^{-1}(\exp(tX)).$$

By Duflo and Moore (see [7], Theorem 6), the  $G$ -invariant Borel subset  $U$  of  $\widehat{G}_0$  has the property that  $V = \text{Ind}U = \{\pi = \text{Ind}_{G_0}^G \pi_0, \pi_0 \in U\}$  is a measurable subset of  $\widehat{G}$  with  $\mu(\widehat{G} - V) = 0$  and for every measurable function  $\phi$  on  $U$  we have that:

$$\int_{\widehat{G}_0} \phi(\pi_0) d\mu_0(\pi_0) = \int_V \int_{\Omega_{\pi_0}} \phi(\exp(tX) \cdot \pi_0) \xi_0(\exp(tX) \cdot \pi_0) dt d\mu(\pi) \quad (2.11)$$

where for  $\pi_0 \in U$ ,  $\Omega_{\pi_0} = \exp(\mathbb{R}X) \cdot \pi_0$ .

**2.4.3** We suppose now that  $G$  is unimodular and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  an ideal of codimension one of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which contains the center  $\mathfrak{z}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We can now assume that the function  $\xi$  in (2.3) is equal to 1 and we can omit it in the formulas. Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}_0$ . The closed subgroup  $G_0 = \exp \mathfrak{g}_0$  is unimodular and normal in  $G$ . By Mackey's theory (see [15]), there exists a Borel subset  $U \subset \widehat{G}_0$  such that  $W = \text{Ind}U = \{\text{Ind}_{G_0}^G \pi_0 : \pi_0 \in U\}$  is a Borel subset of  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\mu(\widehat{G} - W) = 0$ . Moreover, we can suppose that  $U$  meets each orbit  $\exp(\mathbb{R}X) \cdot \pi_0$ ,  $\pi_0 \in U$ , only in the point  $\pi_0$  (see [18], Theorem 3.2).

We obtain in this way a decomposition of the localized Plancherel measure  $\mu_\chi^0$  of  $(\widehat{G}_0)_\chi$ : for a subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{z}$ , for every  $\chi \in \widehat{A}$  and every Borel function  $\phi$  on  $(\widehat{G}_0)_\chi$ :

$$\int_{(\widehat{G}_0)_\chi} \phi(\pi_0) d\mu_\chi^0(\pi_0) = \int_{\widehat{G}_\chi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\exp(tX) \cdot \pi_0) dt d\mu_\chi(\pi). \quad (2.12)$$

## 2.5 Hausdorff-Young type's inequality for integral operators

This inequality is due to Fournier and Russo [11]. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space, let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the space of bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Let  $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued functions on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $K$  an integral operator on  $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$  with an operator-valued kernel  $k$  defined as:

$$K\eta(x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} k(x, y)\eta(y)dy,$$

for all  $\eta \in L^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$ , and almost all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $k^*(x, y) = \overline{k(y, x)}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . For  $p, q$  in  $[1, +\infty[$ , let us define:

$$\|k\|_{p,q} = \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} \|k(x, y)\|_{C_p}^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

If  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $q$  is the conjugate of  $p$  and if  $\|k\|_{p,q}$  and  $\|k^*\|_{p,q}$  are finite, then the integral operator  $K$  belongs to  $C_q(L^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}))$  and

$$\|K\|_{C_q} \leq \|k\|_{\frac{1}{2},q}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|k^*\|_{\frac{1}{2},q}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.13)$$

## 3 Estimate of the $L^p$ -Fourier transform norm

### 3.1 Induction by an ideal of codimension one

The proof of the following lemma can be adapted from the proof of Theorem 3 in [2] (page 517).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be an exponential solvable unimodular Lie group. Let  $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$  be a subgroup of the center  $Z$  of  $G$  and let  $\chi = \chi_\psi$ ,  $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , be a unitary character of  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$  be an ideal of codimension one of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:*

- i) almost all  $G$ -orbits are saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$*
- ii) for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{(\widehat{G_0})_\chi} \|\pi_0(\varphi_0)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu_\chi^0(\pi_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_p \frac{2 \dim(G_0/A) - m_\psi^0}{2} \|\varphi_0\|_{L^p(G_0/A, \chi)},$$

where  $\varphi_0 \in C_c(G_0/A)$  and  $m_\psi^0 = \sup \left\{ \dim(G_0 \cdot l_0) ; l_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_{0,\psi}^* \right\}$ . Then for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,

$$\left( \int_{\widehat{G}_\chi} \|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu_\chi(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_p \frac{2 \dim(G/A) - m_\psi}{2} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(G/A, \chi)},$$

where  $\varphi \in C_c(G/A)$  and  $m_\psi = \sup \left\{ \dim(G \cdot l) ; l \in \mathfrak{g}_\psi^* \right\}$ .

### 3.2 The unimodular case

We suppose now that  $G$  is unimodular. In this case we can take  $\xi \equiv 1$ . Thus  $K_\pi = \text{Id}$  and the localized Plancherel formula reduces to

$$\|\phi\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} \text{tr}(\pi_{\Omega_\psi}(\phi^* * \phi)) d\mu_\psi(\Omega_\psi).$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $G$  be an exponential solvable Lie group and  $\mathfrak{n}$  the nil-radical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (which contains  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ ). For  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , let

$$\mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : l([X, \mathfrak{n}]) = \{0\}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{m}(l) = \mathfrak{g}(l) + \mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{d}(l) = \mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m}(l)^\infty = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{m}(l)), \quad \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l)),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l)) = [\mathfrak{d}(l), \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{d}(l))]$  is the  $k$ -th term in the descending central series of  $\mathfrak{d}(l)$  and similarly for  $\mathfrak{m}(l)^\infty$ .

\*-Regularity (see [5]): we say that an element  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is \*-regular if

$$\langle l, \mathfrak{m}(l)^\infty \rangle = \{0\}.$$

Strong \*-Regularity : we say that an element in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is strong \*-regular if

$$\langle l, \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty \rangle = \{0\}.$$

An exponential Lie group  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  is called strong \*-regular, if there exists a Zariski open subset in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that every element in this subset is strong \*-regular.

**Remarks.**

- (a) Every nilpotent Lie group is strong \*-regular. All connected simply connected exponential Lie groups  $G$  with  $\dim G \leq 4$  are strong \*-regular, except the group  $G_{4,9}(0)$ , known as Boidol's group (see [5]). This particular example will be studied later in Section 4.2.
- (b) We can find groups  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  such that every generic element in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is \*-regular, but which are not strong \*-regular. An example is given by the group  $G$  whose corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is given by the basis  $\{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5, Z_6, Z_7\}$  and the following brackets:

$$[Z_1, Z_3] = -Z_3, \quad [Z_1, Z_4] = Z_4, \quad [Z_3, Z_4] = Z_7,$$

$$[Z_2, Z_5] = -Z_5, \quad [Z_2, Z_6] = Z_6, \quad [Z_5, Z_6] = Z_7, \quad [Z_1, Z_2] = Z_7.$$

We have:  $\mathfrak{n} = \text{span} \langle Z_3, Z_4, Z_5, Z_6, Z_7 \rangle$ . Take  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  in general position, then in particular  $l(Z_1) \neq 0$ ,  $l(Z_2) \neq 0$  and  $l(Z_7) \neq 0$ . It follows that:

$\mathfrak{g}(l) = \langle Z_7 \rangle$ , so  $(\mathfrak{g}(l) + \mathfrak{n})^\infty = \{0\}$ , which means that  $l$  is \*-regular.

But  $\mathfrak{d}(l) = \mathfrak{g}$  so  $\mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty = \mathfrak{n}$ , which means that  $G$  is not strong \*-regular.

- (c) The set  $\mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$  is the smallest ideal in  $\mathfrak{d}(l)$  such that  $\mathfrak{d}(l)/\mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$  is nilpotent.
- (d) Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the nil-radical of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The nil-radical  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathfrak{b}$  is characteristic, hence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is also a (nilpotent) ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and so  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . On the other hand  $\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{b}$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{b}$ , and so is contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . This shows that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{n}$ .

As our main theorem is localized, we need a localized version of the strong  $\psi$ -regularity.

**Definition 2.** Let  $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$  be a closed connected subgroup of the center  $Z$  of  $G$  and  $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . We define:

Strong  $\psi$ -\*-Regulariry : we say that  $G$  is strong  $\psi$ -\*regular if there exists a Zariski open subset in  $\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*$  such that:

$$\langle l, \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty \rangle = \{0\}, \text{ for every } l \text{ in this subset.}$$

We are going to prove the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a strong  $\psi$ -\*regular exponential solvable unimodular Lie group. Then, for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{\mathfrak{g}_\psi^*/G} \|\pi_{\Omega_\psi}(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu_\psi(\Omega_\psi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_p \frac{2 \dim G - m_\psi}{2} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(G/A, \chi_\psi)},$$

where  $\varphi \in C_c(G/A)$  and  $m_\psi = \sup \left\{ \dim(G \cdot l) ; l \in \mathfrak{g}_\psi^* \right\}$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\dim G + \dim(G/A) =: \delta(G, A)$ . If  $\delta(G, A) = 1$ , then  $G = A = \mathbb{R}$  and we have the result by W. Beckner [3], as we are in the abelian situation. If  $\delta(G, A) > 1$ , let  $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  the kernel of  $\psi$  and  $A_0 = \exp \mathfrak{a}_0$ . By [17] page 34, we have to treat the following cases:

**Case 1.**  $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 0$ .

**Subcase 1.1.** : there exists a non-zero  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and a non-zero real linear form  $\alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

$$[X, Y] = \alpha(X)Y, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Subcase 1.2.** : there exists a non-zero  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and a non-zero real linear form  $\alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

$$[X, Y_1] = \alpha(X)(Y_1 - \theta Y_2),$$

$$[X, Y_2] = \alpha(X)(\theta Y_1 + Y_2), \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Case 2.**  $\dim \mathfrak{z} > 0$ .

**Subcase 2.1.** :  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_0 \geq 1$ .

**Subcase 2.2.** :  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_0 = 0$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 2$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\psi|_{\mathfrak{z}} \neq 0$ .

**Subcase 2.3.** :  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_0 \geq 0$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}Z$ .

We can suppose that:  $\psi(Z) = 1$ .

**Subcase 2.3.1.** : there exists a non-zero  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and two real linear forms,  $\alpha, \beta$ , on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

$$[X, Y] = \alpha(X)Y + \beta(X)Z, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Subcase 2.3.2.** : there exists non-zero  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and three non-zero real linearly independent linear forms,  $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2$ , on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

$$[X, Y_1] = \alpha(X)(Y_1 - \theta Y_2) + \beta_1(X)Z,$$

$$[X, Y_2] = \alpha(X)(\theta Y_1 + Y_2) + \beta_2(X)Z, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We can now begin the proof.

**Case 1.**  $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 0$ .

**Subcase 1.1.** There exists a non zero vector  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$[X, Y] = \alpha(X)Y,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a non-zero real linear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \ker \alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, Y] = 0\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is an ideal of codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is strong  $\psi$ -\*-regular. In fact,  $\mathfrak{n}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , since for every element  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the number  $\alpha(U)$  is in the spectrum of  $\text{ad}(U)$ . Let  $l_0 \in (\mathfrak{g}_0^*)_{\psi}$  and  $l$  be an extension of  $l_0$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . So we have:

$$\langle l_0, (\mathfrak{g}_0(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^{\infty} \rangle = \langle l, (\mathfrak{g}_0(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^{\infty} \rangle \subset \langle l, \mathfrak{d}(l)^{\infty} \rangle.$$

It follows that  $\langle l_0, (\mathfrak{g}_0(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^{\infty} \rangle = \{0\}$  for almost all  $l_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  as  $\langle l, \mathfrak{d}(l)^{\infty} \rangle$  does. On the other hand, if  $l(Y) \neq 0$  then  $\text{Ad}^*(\exp \mathbb{R}Y)(l) = l + \mathfrak{g}_0^{\perp}$ . This implies that almost every  $G$ -orbit is saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Whence, we have the result in this case, by Lemma 3.1.

**Subcase 1.2.** There exists non-zero  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and a non-zero real linear form  $\alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$[X, Y_1] = \alpha(X)(Y_1 - \theta Y_2),$$

$$[X, Y_2] = \alpha(X)(\theta Y_1 + Y_2).$$

So,  $[X, Y_1 + iY_2] = \alpha(X)(1 + i\theta)(Y_1 + iY_2) = \alpha'(X)(Y_1 + iY_2)$  with  $\alpha' = (1 + i\theta)\alpha$  a non-zero complex valued linear form.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \ker \alpha = \ker \alpha'$  and  $G_0 = \exp \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is an ideal of codimension one of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and so  $G_0$  is a unimodular normal subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  satisfies the strong  $\psi$ -\*-regularity since, as in the case 1.1,  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ . To settle this case, we have to prove the saturation of generic orbits with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{R}Y_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}Y_2$ . If  $l_{|\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ , then

$$\text{Ad}^*(\exp(\mathfrak{m}))l = l + \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp.$$

In fact, let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}X$  and  $uY_1 + vY_2 \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus we have:

$$[X, uY_1 + vY_2] = u[X, Y_1] + v[X, Y_2] = \alpha(X)((u + v\theta)Y_1 + (v - u\theta)Y_2).$$

We can choose  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $[X, uY_1 + vY_2] \neq 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}^*(\exp(uY_1 + vY_2))(l)(X) &= l(e^{\text{ad}(-uY_1 - vY_2)}X) \\ &= l(X + [-uY_1 - vY_2, X]) \\ &= l(X) + \alpha(X)l((u + v\theta)Y_1 + (v - u\theta)Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

As  $l_{|\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\text{Ad}^*(\exp(\mathbb{R}Y_1 + \mathbb{R}Y_2))l = l + \mathfrak{g}_0^\perp$ . Finally, we have the result using Lemma 3.1.

**Case 2.** suppose that  $\dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 1$ .

Let as before  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  be the kernel of  $\psi$  and  $A_0 = \exp \mathfrak{a}_0$ . The following two subcases can be treated as in [2]:

**Subcase 2.1.**  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_0 \geq 1$

and

**Subcase 2.2.** We suppose that  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_0 = 0$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 2$ .

The next subcase is

**Subcase 2.3.** We suppose that  $\dim \mathfrak{a}_0 = 0$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}Z$ . We can assume that  $\psi(Z) = 1$ .

**Subcase 2.3.1.** there exists a non zero  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and two real linear forms,  $\alpha, \beta$ , on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$[X, Y] = \alpha(X)Y + \beta(X)Z.$$

We have the following subcases:

**A.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are linearly independent.**

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \ker \alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, Y] = \beta(X)Z\}.$$

The subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is an ideal of codimension one of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the associated subgroup  $G_\alpha = \exp \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is a unimodular normal subgroup of  $G$ . It also satisfies the strong  $\psi$ -\*-regularity. Indeed, it is clear that  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . So, as  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) \subset \mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}})$ , we have that  $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^\infty \subset \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$ . Hence

$$\langle l_{|\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}, (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^\infty \rangle = \{0\}.$$

On the other hand, the generic  $G$ -orbits are saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}(l) \subset \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . In fact, let  $T \in \mathfrak{g}(l)$ . Put

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta = \ker \beta = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, Y] = \alpha(X)Y\}.$$

As  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are linearly independent, we have the following decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta) \oplus \mathbb{R}X_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}X_2 \quad \text{with } X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \setminus \mathfrak{g}_\beta \text{ and } X_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta \setminus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

As  $[X_1, Y] = \beta(X_1)Z$  and  $[X_2, Y] = \alpha(X_2)Y$ , we can suppose  $\alpha(X_2) = \beta(X_1) = 1$ . If  $T \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there exists  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $U_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  such that  $T = \gamma X_1 + \delta X_2 + U_0$ . We have  $[T, Y] = \gamma Z + \delta Y$  and we have to show that  $\delta = 0$ . Otherwise, we can show that  $Z \in \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$ . We have first that  $Z \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathcal{C}^0(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ . As  $T \in \mathfrak{g}(l) \subset \mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}})$ , we have that  $[T, Y] \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ . This implies that  $[X_1, [T, Y]] = \delta Z \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{d}(l))$  and then  $Z \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ . Since  $[T, Y] = \gamma Z + \delta Y \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{d}(l))$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ , we obtain  $Y \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. As  $\text{ad}^k(T)(Y) \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ , we have that

$$[X_1, \text{ad}^k(T)(Y)] = \delta^{k+1}Z \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l)).$$

Hence  $Z \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l))$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , and so  $Z \in \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$ . The strong  $*$ -regularity implies that  $l(Z) = 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $l$  is generic. Hence  $\delta = 0$ ,  $T$  is in  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$  and  $G \cdot l$  is saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Lemma 3.1 allows us to settle this case.

**B. We suppose that  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ .**

For all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we can write that

$$[X, Y] = \beta(X)Z.$$

The subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, Y] = 0\} = C(Y)$  is an ideal of codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $C(Y)$  denotes the centralizer of  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G_\beta = \exp \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  is a unimodular normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta$  be the nil-radical of  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$  and, by Remark(d) in 3.2, we have that  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ .

**B1.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ , which means that  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta = \mathfrak{n}$ . Take  $\tilde{l} \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta^*$  and  $l$  any extension of  $\tilde{l}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , then

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta(\tilde{l}|_{\mathfrak{n}_\beta}) + \mathfrak{n}_\beta \subset \mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n},$$

so

$$(\mathfrak{g}_\beta(\tilde{l}|_{\mathfrak{n}_\beta}) + \mathfrak{n}_\beta)^\infty \subset \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty.$$

This proves that  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$  has the strong  $\psi$ - $*$ -regularity and it is not hard to see that the generic orbits are saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ , since  $\text{Ad}^*(\exp \mathbb{R}Y)(l) = l + \mathfrak{g}_\beta^\perp$ . We can apply again the Lemma 3.1.

**B2.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{n} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . We have that  $\mathfrak{n}_\beta = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . Let  $\tilde{l} \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta^*$  and  $l$  any extension of  $\tilde{l}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . So we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta(\tilde{l}|_{\mathfrak{n}_\beta}) \subset \mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathbb{R}Y.$$

In fact, since  $\beta \neq 0$ , there is an element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that  $[Y, X] = Z$ . Let  $U$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta(l_{|\mathfrak{n}_\beta})$ . If  $l([U, X]) = 0$ , then  $U \in \mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}})$ . Otherwise, let  $l([U, X]) = \delta \neq 0$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$l([U + \lambda Y, X]) = l([U, X]) + \lambda l([Y, X]) = \delta + \lambda l(Z).$$

So we can choose  $\lambda$  such that  $l([U + \lambda Y, X]) = 0$ . Since  $Y$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ , it follows that  $U + \lambda Y \in \mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathbb{R}Y$ , hence

$$U \in \mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathbb{R}Y.$$

This implies that, for  $U, U' \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta(\tilde{l}_{|\mathfrak{n}_\beta})$  and  $N, N' \in \mathfrak{n}_\beta$ , we can choose  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$[U + N, U' + N'] = [U + \lambda Y + N, U' + \lambda' Y + N'] \in [\mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n}] = C^1(\mathfrak{g}(l_{|\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n}).$$

It follows immediately that:

$$(\mathfrak{g}_\beta(l_{|\mathfrak{n}_\beta}) + \mathfrak{n}_\beta)^\infty \subset \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty.$$

So the strong  $\psi$ -\*-regularity of  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$  is satisfied. As the saturation of the generic orbits is clear, Lemma 3.1 gives us the result.

**C.  $\alpha, \beta$  are linearly dependent and  $\alpha \neq 0$ .**

There exists  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\beta = \delta\alpha$ . Then, for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $[X, Y] = \alpha(X)(Y + \delta Z)$ , whence  $[X, Y + \delta Z] = \alpha(X)(Y + \delta Z)$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{A \in \mathfrak{g} : [A, Y + \delta Z] = 0\} = \ker \alpha.$$

And we are in the same situation as in subcase (1.1).

**Subcase 2.3.2.** There exists non zero  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and three non-zero real linearly independent linear forms  $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2$ , on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that: for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$[X, Y_1] = \alpha(X)(Y_1 - \theta Y_2) + \beta_1(X)Z,$$

$$[X, Y_2] = \alpha(X)(\theta Y_1 + Y_2) + \beta_2(X)Z.$$

Let  $\beta = \beta_1 + i\beta_2$  and  $\alpha' = (1 + i\theta)\alpha$ . Then we have:

$$[X, Y_1 + iY_2] = \alpha'(X)(Y_1 + iY_2) + \beta(X)Z.$$

On the other hand, we can easily construct  $X_1, X_2, S \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that:  $\alpha(S) = 1, \beta(S) = 0$ , i.e.

$$[S, Y_1] = Y_1 - \theta Y_2,$$

$$[S, Y_2] = \theta Y_1 + Y_2.$$

Hence,  $[S, Y_1 + iY_2] = Y_1 + iY_2$  and

$$\alpha(X_1) = \alpha(X_2) = 0, \beta(X_1) = \beta(X_2) = 1,$$

$$[X_j, Y_k] = \delta_{jk}Z, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 2.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \text{Ker } \alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, Y_1 + iY_2] = \beta(X)Z\}$$

and  $G_\alpha = \exp \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . The subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is an ideal of codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G_\alpha$  is a unimodular normal subgroup of  $G$ . As  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , the strong  $\psi$ -\*-regularity is satisfied. Moreover, the generic orbits are saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Indeed, let  $T \in \mathfrak{g}(l)$ , we must show that  $T \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Since  $l(Z) \neq 0$ , we can assume that  $l(Y_1) = l(Y_2) = 0$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta = \text{Ker } \beta = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, Y_1 + iY_2] = \alpha'(X)(Y_1 + iY_2)\}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta) \oplus \mathbb{R}X_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}X_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}S.$$

Then there exists  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $U_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_\beta$  such that  $T = \gamma_1X_1 + \gamma_2X_2 + \gamma_3S + U_0$ . As  $T \in \mathfrak{g}(l)$ , we have:

$$l([T, Y_1]) = l([\gamma_1X_1 + \gamma_2X_2 + \gamma_3S + U_0, Y_1]) = \gamma_1l(Z) + \gamma_3l(Y_1 - \theta Y_2) = 0$$

and

$$l([T, Y_2]) = l([\gamma_1X_1 + \gamma_2X_2 + \gamma_3S + U_0, Y_2]) = \gamma_2l(Z) + \gamma_3l(\theta Y_1 + Y_2) = 0.$$

Recall that  $l(Y_1) = l(Y_2) = 0$  and  $l(Z) \neq 0$ , so  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ . Hence,  $T = U_0 + \gamma_3S$ . We claim now that  $\gamma_3 = 0$ . Otherwise, we show that  $Z \in \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$  which is a contradiction. We have first that  $Y_1, Y_2 \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathcal{C}^0(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ , since  $[S, Y_1] = Y_1 - \theta Y_2$  and  $[S, Y_2] = \theta Y_1 + Y_2$ . Now, suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ . Thus,

$$[T, Y_1] = [U_0 + \gamma_3S, Y_1] = \gamma_3(Y_1 - \theta Y_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{d}(l))$$

and

$$[T, Y_2] = [U_0 + \gamma_3S, Y_2] = \gamma_3(\theta Y_1 + Y_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{d}(l)),$$

This implies that  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{k+1}(\mathfrak{d}(l))$ . We obtain inductively that:

$$Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l)), \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

Hence, one deduces that:

$$[X_1, Y_1] = Z \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathfrak{d}(l)), \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0$$

and that  $Z \in \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty$ . Finally, by Lemma 3.1, we have the result. □

Taking  $A = \{e\}$  in proposition 3.1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a strong  $*$ -regular exponential solvable unimodular Lie group. Then, for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q^a}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_p \frac{2^{\dim G - m}}{2} \|\varphi\|_p,$$

where  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$  and  $m$  is the maximal dimension of coadjoint orbits.

### 3.3 The main theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be an exponential solvable Lie group satisfying the strong  $*$ -regularity condition. Let  $m$  be the maximal dimension of coadjoint orbits. Let  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $q$  the conjugate of  $p$ . Then, for all  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$  and  $\mu$ -almost all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ , the operator  $\pi^p(\varphi) := \pi(\varphi)K_{\pi^q}^{-1}$  is bounded, its extension is of class  $C_q$  and satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|\pi^p(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_p^{\frac{2 \dim G - m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_p.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a strong  $*$ -regular exponential solvable non-unimodular Lie group, with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $G_0$  be the kernel of the modular function. Then  $G_0$  is a closed unimodular subgroup of  $G$ . As generically,  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is nilpotent, we can assume that for all  $\pi \in V$ , we can write  $\pi = \text{ind}_{G_0}^G \pi_0$  for some  $\pi_0 \in U$  (see section 2.4 for notations). We are going to prove an elementary lemma which will be of interest in the sequel of the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\pi \in V$  and  $\pi = \text{Ind}_{G_0}^G \pi_0$ . Then for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ , the integral operator  $\pi(\varphi)K_{\pi^q}^{-1}$  is realized on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_{\pi_0})$  with operator valued kernel  $k_{\pi(\varphi)}$  defined by:*

$$\pi(\varphi)K_{\pi^q}^{-1} \eta(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_{\pi(\varphi)}(s, t) \eta(t) dt,$$

where  $k_{\pi(\varphi)}(s, t) = \pi_0^t(\varphi^{s-t}) \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp tX)$  and  $\varphi^{s-t}(g_0) = \varphi(\exp(s-t)X g_0)$ .

*Proof.* We use the notations of section 2.4.2. Let  $g = \exp(tX)g_0$ ,  $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_{\pi_0})$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g_0 \in G_0$ . We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\exp(tX)g_0)K_{\pi^q}^{-1} \eta(s) &= K_{\pi^q}^{-1} \eta(g_0^{-1} \exp(s-t)X) \\ &= \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp(s-t)X) \eta(g_0^{-1} \exp(s-t)X) \\ &= \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp(s-t)X) \eta(\exp(s-t)X \exp(t-s)X g_0^{-1} \exp(s-t)X) \\ &= \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp(s-t)X) \pi_0(\exp((t-s)X)g_0 \exp((s-t)X)) \eta(s-t) \\ &= \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp(s-t)X) \pi_0^{s-t}(g_0) \eta(s-t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\varphi)K_{\pi^q}^{-1} \eta(s) &= \int_G \varphi(g) \pi(g) K_{\pi^q}^{-1} \eta(s) dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_0} \varphi(\exp(tX)g_0) \pi(\exp(tX)g_0) K_{\pi^q}^{-1} \eta(s) dg_0 dt \\ &\quad \text{(using the computation above)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_0} \varphi(\exp(tX)g_0) \pi_0^{s-t}(g_0) \eta(s-t) \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp(s-t)X) dg_0 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_0} \varphi(\exp(s-t)Xg_0) \pi_0^t(g_0) \eta(t) \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp tX) dg_0 dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_0^t(\varphi^{s-t}) \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp tX) \eta(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We shall use the inequality (2.13) to get an estimate of the norm  $\|\pi(\varphi)K_{\pi}^{\frac{-1}{q}}\|_{C_q}$ . We start by computing the norm  $\|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q} &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi)}(s,t)\|_{C_q}^p ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\pi_0^t(\varphi^{s-t})\|_{C_q}^p \Delta_G^{\frac{1}{q}}(\exp tX) ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\pi_0^t(\varphi^s)\|_{C_q}^p \Delta_G(\exp tX) dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

$$\int_{\widehat{G}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q}^q d\mu(\pi) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\pi_0^t(\varphi^s)\|_{C_q}^p \Delta_G(\exp tX) dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\mu(\pi)$$

(we use the generalized Minkowski inequality for the measures  $\Delta_G(\exp tX) dt d\mu$  and  $ds$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\pi_0^t(\varphi^s)\|_{C_q}^q \Delta_G(\exp tX) dt d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\pi_0^t(\varphi^s)\|_{C_q}^q \xi_0(\exp tX \cdot \pi_0) dt d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\widehat{G}_0} \|\pi_0(\varphi^s)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu_0(\pi_0) \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
&\quad \text{(by the measure disintegration formula (2.11))}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_p^{p \frac{2 \dim G_0 - (m-2)}{2}} \|\varphi^s\|_p^p ds \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

(by using Proposition 3.1 for  $G_0$ ).

Hence,

$$\int_{\widehat{G}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q}^q d\mu(\pi) \leq A_p^{q \frac{2 \dim G - m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_p^q.$$

Since the norm  $\|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q}$  is finite for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\pi$ , it follows from [11], that for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ ,  $\pi(\varphi)K_{\pi}^{\frac{-1}{q}}$  is bounded and has a  $C_q$ -class extension on  $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ .

Finally, by Remark 2.3.2 in [13], we have that  $(\pi(\varphi)K_{\pi}^{\frac{-1}{q}})^* = \pi(\varphi^{*(p)})K_{\pi}^{\frac{-1}{q}}$ , where  $\varphi^{*(p)}(g) = \Delta_G^{\frac{-1}{p}}(g)\overline{\varphi(g^{-1})}$ . Hence, using (2.13) we obtain:

$$\int_{\widehat{G}} \|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu(\pi) \leq \int_{\widehat{G}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q}^{\frac{q}{2}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi^{*(p)})}\|_{p,q}^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(\pi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi)}\|_{p,q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|k_{\pi(\varphi^{*(p)})}\|_{p,q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq A_p^{q \frac{2 \dim G - m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{\frac{q}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}} \|\varphi^{*(p)}\|_{\frac{q}{2}}^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
&= A_p^{q \frac{2 \dim G - m}{2}} \|\varphi\|_p^q.
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** The previous theorem asserts that the mapping  $\varphi \mapsto \pi(\varphi)K_{\pi}^{-\frac{1}{q}}$  extends to a continuous operator  $\mathcal{F}^p : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^q(\widehat{G})$  and its norm satisfies  $\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\| \leq A_p^{\frac{2 \dim G - m}{2}}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Examples

### 4.1 A strong \*-regular example

Let consider the following Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span} \langle A, X, Y, Z, B, V \rangle$  with non-vanishing brackets:

$$[A, B] = V, [A, X] = -X, [A, Y] = Y, [X, Y] = Z$$

and with dual basis  $\{A^*, X^*, Y^*, Z^*, B^*, V^*\}$ .

We identify the exponential group associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^6$  equipped with the multiplication:

$$(a, x, y, z, b, v) \cdot (t', x', y', z', b', v') = (a+a', x'+xe^{a'}, y'+ye^{-a'}, z+z'-x'y e^{-a'}, b+b', v+v'-ba')$$

$$(a, x, y, z, b, v)^{-1} = (-a, -xe^{-a}, -ye^a, -z - xy, -b, -v - ba).$$

The Haar measure on  $G$  is given by  $dadx dydzdbdv$  and  $G$  is unimodular. The group  $G$  is strong \*-regular. Let  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  in general position, i.e.,  $l(V) \neq 0$  and  $l(Z) \neq 0$ . Then the coadjoint orbit of  $l$  contains an element  $l'$  of the form  $l' = \lambda Z^* + \mu V^*$  with  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$ . The nil-radical  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is generated by  $\{X, Y, Z, B, V\}$  and we have that  $\mathfrak{g}(l) = \text{span} \langle V, Z \rangle$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \text{span} \langle B, V, Z \rangle$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}$  and then  $\mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty = \{0\}$ . It follows that  $\langle l, \mathfrak{d}(l)^\infty \rangle = \{0\}$ .

The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}(l) = \text{span} \langle Y, Z, B, V \rangle$  is a Pukanszky polarization of  $l = \lambda Z^* + \mu V^*$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $B(l) = \exp \mathfrak{b}(l)$ . Then  $B(l)$  is unimodular and so  $\Delta_{B(l), G} \equiv 1$ . Let  $\chi_l$  be the unitary character of  $B(l)$  defined by: for all  $A \in \mathfrak{b}(l)$ ,

$$\chi_l(\exp A) = e^{-2\pi i l(A)}.$$

The induced representation  $\pi_l = \pi_{\lambda, \mu} = \text{Ind}_{B(l)}^G \chi_l$  of  $G$  is irreducible. The Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}$  is identified to the measure  $|\lambda||\mu|d\lambda d\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^*$ .

So, for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ , the Plancherel formula reads:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \|\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\varphi)\|_{C_2}^2 |\lambda||\mu| d\lambda d\mu = \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^6)}^2.$$

We have, for  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\lambda,\mu}}$  and  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ , that:

$$\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi)\xi(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \varphi(a, x, y, z, b, v) \pi_{\lambda,\mu}(a, x, y, z, b, v) \xi(g) dt dx dy dz$$

If we identify  $G/B(l)$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then the kernel  $k_\varphi$  of the operator  $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi)$  is given by the expression:

$$k_\varphi((a, x), (a', x')) = e^{-a'} \widehat{\varphi}(a-a', (x-x')e^{-a'}, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) (-x'e^{-a'} \lambda, \lambda, a'\mu, \mu), (a, x), (a', x') \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\widehat{\varphi}$  is the partial Fourier transform in the last four variables. By Proposition 3.1, we have that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_\varphi\|_{p,q}^q |\lambda| |\mu| d\lambda d\mu \leq A_p^{4q} \|\varphi\|_p^q.$$

## 4.2 Boidol's group

In this example we have a group which is not strong  $*$ -regular. We shall see that the methods used in this paper cannot give an estimate of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform norm. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span} \langle T, X, Y, Z \rangle$  with the brackets:

$$[X, Y] = Z, [T, Y] = Y, [T, X] = -X.$$

Let  $\{T^*, X^*, Y^*, Z^*\}$  be the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We identify the group  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and we have the multiplication:

$$(t, x, y, z) \cdot (t', x', y', z') = (t + t', x' + xe^{t'}, y' + ye^{-t'}, z + z' - yx'e^{-t'})$$

and the inverse:

$$(t, x, y, z)^{-1} = (-t, -xe^{-t}, -ye^t, -xy - z).$$

The Haar measure in  $G$  is given by  $dt dx dy dz$  and the modular function  $\Delta_G$  is equal to one. The  $G$ -orbits in general position are parametrized by the  $\lambda Z^* + \mu T^*$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$ . The Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}$  is identified with the measure  $|\lambda| d\lambda d\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$ . So, for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ , the Plancherel formula reads:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \|\pi_{\lambda Z^* + \mu T^*}(\varphi)\|_{C_2}^2 |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu = \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)}^2.$$

The group  $G$  fails to be strong  $*$ -regular. In fact, if we take  $l = \lambda Z^* + \mu T^*$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ), then

$$\mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \text{span} \langle Z, T \rangle, \mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } (\mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^\infty = \mathfrak{n}.$$

This implies that  $\langle l, (\mathfrak{g}(l|_{\mathfrak{n}}) + \mathfrak{n})^\infty \rangle \neq \{0\}$ . The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}(l) = \text{span} \langle T, Y, Z \rangle$  is a Pukanszky polarization for  $l = \lambda Z^* + \mu T^*$ ,  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $B(l) = \exp \mathfrak{b}(l)$  is non-unimodular:

$$\Delta_{B(l)}(t, y, z) = \Delta_{B(l), G}(t, y, z) = e^{-t}, \text{ for all } t, y, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $\chi_l$  be the character of  $B(l)$  defined by:

$$\chi_l(\exp A) = e^{-2i\pi l(A)}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{b}(l).$$

The induced representation  $\pi_l = \pi_{\lambda, \mu} = \text{Ind}_{B(l)}^G \chi_l$ , of  $G$  is irreducible and acts on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by: for all  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(t, x, y, z)\xi(a) = e^{2i\pi(\lambda(xy+z-aye^t)+\mu t)} e^{\frac{t}{2}} \xi(ae^t - x).$$

For  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ , the kernel  $k_\varphi$  of the operator  $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\varphi)$  is given by:

$$k_\varphi(x, a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(t, ae^t - x, y, z) e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2i\pi(\lambda(z-xy)+\mu t)} dt dy dz, \quad x, a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As  $(k_\varphi)^* = k_{\varphi^*}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_\varphi\|_{p, q}^{\frac{q}{2}} \|k_{\varphi^*}\|_{p, q}^{\frac{q}{2}} |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_\varphi\|_{p, q}^q |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_{\varphi^*}\|_{p, q}^q |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the dimension of the generic orbits is equal to two, then we like to get that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_\varphi\|_{p, q}^q |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \leq A_p^{3q} \|\varphi\|_p^q.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_\varphi\|_{p, q}^q |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |k_\varphi(a, x)|^p da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(t, ae^t - x, y, z) e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2i\pi(\lambda(z-xy)+\mu t)} dt dy dz \right|^p da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\varphi}(t, ae^t - x, \cdot, \cdot)(\lambda, -\lambda x) e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2i\pi\mu t} dt \right|^p da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \end{aligned}$$

(we consider the function  $\zeta_{a, x}(t) = e^{\frac{t}{2}} \widehat{\varphi}(t, ae^t - x, \cdot, \cdot)(\lambda, -\lambda x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\zeta}_{a, x}(\mu)|^p da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\zeta}_{a, x}(\mu)|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

(by the generalized Minkowski's inequality)

$$\leq A_p^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\zeta_{a, x}(t)|^p dt da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda$$

(by Beckner's result for  $\mathbb{R}$ )

$$= A_p^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\varphi}(t, ae^t - x, \cdot, \cdot)(\lambda, -\lambda x)|^p e^{\frac{pt}{2}} dt da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A_p^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\varphi}(t, a, \cdot, \cdot)(\lambda, -\lambda x)|^p e^{(\frac{p}{2}-1)t} dt da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx |\lambda| d\lambda \\
&\leq A_p^q \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\varphi}(t, a, \cdot, \cdot)(\lambda, -\lambda x)|^q dx |\lambda| d\lambda \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} e^{(\frac{p}{2}-1)t} dt da \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

using the generalized Minkowski's inequality.

This shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|k_\varphi\|_{p,q}^q |\lambda| d\lambda d\mu \leq A_p^{3q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\varphi(t, a, y, z)|^p e^{(\frac{p}{2}-1)t} dt da dy dz \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

by Beckner's result for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . But the right hand of this inequality is clearly different from  $A_p^{3q} \|\varphi\|_p^q$ .

**Remark.** If we view the Boidol group as a semi-direct product of the Heisenberg group  $H = \exp(\text{span} \langle X, Y, Z \rangle)$  and the abelian group  $\exp(\mathbb{R}T)$ , then by Theorem 2 in [14], we have for the particular case when  $p = \frac{2k}{2k-1}$ ,  $k$  an integer  $\geq 2$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}^p(G)\| \leq \|\mathcal{F}^p(H)\| \|\mathcal{F}^p(\mathbb{R})\| = A_p^3.$$

## References

- [1] Babenko, K. I.: An inequality in the theory of Fourier integrals, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **25**, 531-542(1961); English translation., *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2) **44**, 115-128(1965)
- [2] Baklouti, A., Ludwig, J. and Smaoui, K.: Estimate of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform norm on nilpotent Lie groups, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **199**, 508-520(2003)
- [3] Beckner, W.: Inequalities in Fourier analysis, *Ann. of Math.*, **102**, 159-182(1975)
- [4] Bernat, M.P. and Al.: *Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles*, Dunod, Paris, 1972
- [5] Boidol, J.: \*-Regularity of Exponential Lie groups. *Inventiones Math.*, **56**, 231-238(1980)
- [6] Corwin, L. and Greenleaf, P.: *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications, Part 1: Basic theory and examples*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1990
- [7] Duflo, M. and Moore, C.C.: On the regular representation of a non-unimodular locally compact group, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **21**, 209-243(1976)
- [8] Duflo, M. and Rais, M.: Sur l'analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie résolubles, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, (4) **9**, 107-144(1976)

- [9] Eymard, P. et Terp, M.: La transformation de Fourier et son inverse sur le groupe des  $ax + b$  d'un corps local, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Springer, **739**, 207-278(1979)
- [10] Fournier, J.J.F.: Sharpness in Young's inequality for convolution, *Pacific J. Math.*, **72**, 383-397(1977)
- [11] Fournier, J.J.F. and Russo, B.: Abstract interpolation and operator-valued kernels, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **16**, 283-289(1977)
- [12] Führ, H.: Hausdorff-Young inequalities for non-unimodular groups, preprint
- [13] Inoue, J.:  $L^p$ -Fourier transforms on nilpotent Lie groups and solvable Lie groups acting on Siegel domains, *Pacific J. Math.*, **155**, 2, 295-318(1992)
- [14] Klein, A. and Russo, B.: Sharp inequalities for Weyl operators and Heisenberg groups, *Math. Ann. Springer*, **235**, 175-194(1978)
- [15] Kleppner, A. and Lipsman, R.L.: The Plancherel formula for group extensions, I and II, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, **5**, 459-516(1972); *ibid.* **6**, 103-132(1973)
- [16] Kunze, R.A.:  $L^p$ -Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **89**, 519-540(1958)
- [17] Leptin, H. and Ludwig, J.: Unitary representation theory of exponential Lie groups, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. **18**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994
- [18] Mackey, G.: Borel structure in groups and their duals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **85**, 134-165(1957)
- [19] Pukanszky, L.: Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups, *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.*, **4**, 457-608(1971)
- [20] Russo, B.: The norm of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform, II, *Canad. J. Math*, **28**, 1121-1131(1976)
- [21] Russo, B.: On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **68**, 241-253(1977)
- [22] Russo, B.: The norm of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform on unimodular groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **192**, 293-305(1974)
- [23] Terp, M.:  $L^p$ -Fourier transformation on non-unimodular locally compact groups, preprint